	RDT&E BUDGET ITEM	JUSTI	FICATI	ON SHI	EET (R	-2 Exhi	bit)		DATE	June	2001
BUDGET ACTIVITY  04 - Demonstration and Validation			PE NUMBER AND TITLE  0604327F Hardened Target Munitions							PROJECT <b>4641</b>	
	COST (\$ in Thousands)	FY 2000 Actual	FY 2001 Estimate	FY 2002 Estimate	FY 2003 Estimate	FY 2004 Estimate	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Estimate	FY 2007 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
4641	Hard and Deeply Buried Target Defeat System (HDBTDS)	4,692	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11,307
	Quantity of RDT&E Articles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Footnote: FY03-FY07 budget numbers do not reflect the DoD strategic review results.

### (U) A. Mission Description

The Hard and Deeply Buried Target Defeat System (HDBTDS) program is an effort designed to hold at risk those highest priority assets essential to the enemy's war fighting ability, which are heavily defended and protectively hardened. Hardening techniques include construction of facilities, many of which are deep underground with multiple layers of reinforced concrete, rock rubble, and/or earth overburden. Other hardened targets include operations within caves, tunnels, and mountains built using rapidly improving construction equipment exported by allies and adversaries on a large scale. (Examples include enemy command and control facilities, air defense facilities, facilities for the production, storage, and deployment of weapons including weapons of mass destruction, surface to surface missile launch sites, aircraft storage sites, artillery sites.) Potential solutions include (but are not limited to) Special Forces, conventional short or long range ballistic missiles (land or sea launched), cruise missiles, direct attack munitions, and standoff weapons.

An Analysis of Alternatives (AOA) was conducted to evaluate the weapon concepts to determine the most promising concepts to move forward into a follow-on program. The potential weapon concepts were evaluated in an air campaign analysis. The results of the AOA were presented to the Joint Requirements Oversight Council (JROC) in 4th Quarter FY 1999.

The AOA has been documented into a report for future reference. The primary legacy hard target penetrator weapon, GBU-28, was tested in rock to validate computer models used in the AOA analysis and to provide test data for future use when considering weapon design alternatives for increased penetration capability. The results were presented in 1st Quarter FY 2001.

### (U) FY 2000 (\$ in Thousands)

(U) \$2,25	Conducted GBU-28 rock test program. Inventory GBU-28 assets were filled with an inert errock targets to validate models and provide data for potential future design of improved wards	•
(U) \$2,25		explosive and launched from an F-15E aircraft into
	cycle cost estimates, and modeling assumptions and methodology.	mones, weapon concept descriptions metading me
	includes the worldwide hard and deeply buried target set, key intelligence inputs and require	ements weapon concept descriptions including life
(U) \$863	Documented AOA analysis into a report for future reference and use by other DoD analysis	organizations. Important data in the AOA report
(U) FY 2	2000 (\$ in 1 nousands)	

RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET (R-2 Exhibit)  DATE  June 2001					
BUDGET ACTIVITY <b>04 - Demons</b>	etration and Validation	et Munitions	PROJECT  ons  4641		
(U) A. Mission	Description Continued	•			
(U) \$1,099	consisted of testing GBU-28 in rock in co	included project office and contractor support to ma pordination with the Defense Threat Reduction Ager	ncy (DTRA), and docu	mentation of the AOA.	
(U) \$480 (U) \$4,692		lysis (SETA) support included evaluation of GBU-28 apprades to the GBU-28 5000 lb hard target penetrate			
(U) <u>FY 2001 (\$</u> (U) \$0 (U) \$0	<u>S in Thousands)</u> No Activity Total				
U) <u>FY 2002 (\$</u> U) \$0 U) \$0	<u>S in Thousands)</u> No Activity  Total				
This progra	Activity Justification  am is in budget activity 4 - Demonstration and Validate beeply buried targets not currently held at risk.	ation, because the program would examine a Hard T	arget Munitions capab	ility to precisely hit and destr	
U) <u>C. Progra</u> i	m Change Summary (\$ in Thousands)				
(U) Appropriat (U) Adjustmen a. Congress b. Small Bu c. Omnibus d. Below T	ts to Appropriated Value sional/General Reductions usiness Innovative Research s or Other Above Threshold Reprogram Threshold Reprogram	4,840 4,910 -31 -140	2001 FY 200 0	02 Total Co 0 11,45 12,89	
	ons ts to Budget Years Since FY 2001 PBR dget Submit/FY 2002 PBR	-19 0 4,692		0 0 11,30	
Project 4641		Page 2 of 5 Pages	E	Exhibit R-2 (PE 0604327F)	

#### DATE RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET (R-2 Exhibit) June 2001 PE NUMBER AND TITLE BUDGET ACTIVITY **PROJECT** 04 - Demonstration and Validation 0604327F Hardened Target Munitions 4641 **(U)** C. Program Change Summary (\$ in Thousands) Continued (U)Significant Program Changes: Funding: FY 1999 funding was reduced from \$9.8M to \$3.0M for the Air Force and from \$9.8M to \$3.0M for the Navy. This reduction eliminated ongoing parameter analysis and trade study efforts. All Air Force and Navy funding was combined to complete the AOA analysis and prepare for follow-on program. FY 2000 funding was added to document the AOA into a report; conduct testing and evaluation of the GBU-28 5000 lb weapon in rock; and perform design analysis and evaluation of potential future upgrades to the GBU-28 hard target penetrator weapon and other legacy weapons. Schedule: The AOA was extended to evaluate additional concepts and conduct a survivability analysis. The AOA was completed in 4Q FY 1999 (U) D. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Thousands) FY 2002 FY 2000 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2006 **Total Cost** FY 2001 FY 2005 FY 2007 Cost to **Complete** Actual Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate Estimate **Estimate** (U) Not Applicable (U) E. Acquisition Strategy The contract to perform the AOA work was a modification to an existing Systems Engineering and Technical Assistance (SETA) support contract to the Ogden Air Logistics Center (OO-ALC) ICBM System Program Office (SPO) - a Cost Plus Award Fee (CPAF) contract filled by TRW (Colorado Springs). Hardened Target Munitions Program alternatives were fully evaluated in the AOA. At the conclusion of the AOA, the Air Force had the necessary information to support the GBU-28 5000 lb weapon as the most cost-effective alternative to defeat hard and deeply buried targets and to conduct investigations into improvements of the GBU-28 and other legacy weapons. (U) F. Schedule Profile 4 Complete AOA Brief AOA Results (U) Publish AOA Report (U) Conduct Testing in Rock (U) Report Rock Test Results \* = Completed event X = Planned event

Exhibit R-2 (PE 0604327F)

Project 4641

ration and Nost Breakdown  y and Contractor actor t Assets E  cquisition Histor Organizations:	Contract Method/Type or Funding	ing Informatio	n (\$ in Thousand	060432	ER AND TITLE  7F Harder	FY 2 1,		FY 200	4	FY 2002 0 0 0 0 0 0
y and Contractor actor t Assets E cquisition Histo Organizations:	ESupport  Ory and Planni  Contract  Method/Type or Funding	ing Informatio	<u>Performing</u>			1,	863 099 480 200		0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
actor t Assets E cquisition Histo Organizations:	Ory and Planni Contract Method/Type or Funding	e Award or	<u>Performing</u>			1,	863 099 480 200		0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
actor t Assets E cquisition Histo Organizations:	Ory and Planni Contract Method/Type or Funding	e Award or	<u>Performing</u>			1, 2,	099 480 200 050	(	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
actor t Assets E cquisition Histo Organizations:	Ory and Planni Contract Method/Type or Funding	e Award or	<u>Performing</u>			2,	480 200 050	(	0 0 0	0 0
t Assets E cquisition Histo Organizations:	Contract Method/Type or Funding	e Award or	<u>Performing</u>			2,	200 050	(	0	0
E cquisition Histo Organizations:	Contract Method/Type or Funding	e Award or	<u>Performing</u>			2,	050	(	0	0
cquisition Histo	Contract Method/Type or Funding	e Award or	<u>Performing</u>						•	· ·
- Organizations:	Contract Method/Type or Funding	e Award or	<u>Performing</u>			4,	692	(	0	0
- Organizations:	Contract Method/Type or Funding	e Award or	<u>Performing</u>							
_	Contract Method/Type or Funding			Project						
_	Contract Method/Type or Funding			Project						
	or Funding			Project						
		Obligation								
	X7 1 ' 1	Obligation	<u>Activity</u>	Office	Total Prior	Budget	Budget	<b>Budget</b>	Budget to	<u>Total</u>
	<u>Vehicle</u>	Date	EAC	EAC	to FY 2000	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	Complete	Program
elopment Organi	izations								<u> </u>	
actor	SS/CPAF	Oct 99	480	480	0	480	0	0	0	480
ogs)	SS/CPAF	Oct 96	8,894	8,894	3,151	863	0	0	0	4,014
estimate by Perfe	orming Activity	y and Project Of	ffice includes FY	96 and FY97	funds from PI	E 0603311F.				
Management Or	ganizations	_								
x, VA)	SS/CPAF	Dec 98	N/A	N/A	225	253	0	0	0	478
Kirtland AFB	MIPR	Dec 97	N/A	N/A	30	10	0	0	0	40
rtland)	MIPR	Oct 97	N/A	N/A	1,115	0	0	0	0	1,115
(Eglin)	MIPR	Oct 97	N/A	N/A	2,094	1,036	0	0	0	3,130
luation Organiza	ations									
ite Sands)	MIPR	Dec 99	N/A	N/A	0	1,350	0	0	0	1,350
(Eglin)	MIPR	Dec 99	N/A	N/A	0	700	0	0	0	700
rt (E <u>lu</u>	land) Eglin) lation Organiza e Sands)	land) MIPR Eglin) MIPR lation Organizations e Sands) MIPR	land) MIPR Oct 97 Eglin) MIPR Oct 97 lation Organizations e Sands) MIPR Dec 99	land) MIPR Oct 97 N/A Eglin) MIPR Oct 97 N/A lation Organizations e Sands) MIPR Dec 99 N/A	land) MIPR Oct 97 N/A N/A Eglin) MIPR Oct 97 N/A N/A lation Organizations e Sands) MIPR Dec 99 N/A N/A	Idand)         MIPR         Oct 97         N/A         N/A         1,115           Eglin)         MIPR         Oct 97         N/A         N/A         2,094           Lation Organizations         e Sands)         MIPR         Dec 99         N/A         N/A         0	Iand)         MIPR         Oct 97         N/A         N/A         1,115         0           Eglin)         MIPR         Oct 97         N/A         N/A         2,094         1,036           extraction Organizations         extraction Organizations         N/A         N/A         0         1,350	Iand)         MIPR         Oct 97         N/A         N/A         1,115         0         0           Eglin)         MIPR         Oct 97         N/A         N/A         2,094         1,036         0           action Organizations         e Sands)         MIPR         Dec 99         N/A         N/A         0         1,350         0           Eglin)         MIPR         Dec 99         N/A         N/A         0         700         0	Idand)         MIPR         Oct 97         N/A         N/A         1,115         0         0         0           Eglin)         MIPR         Oct 97         N/A         N/A         2,094         1,036         0         0           exaction Organizations         exaction Organizations         N/A         N/A         0         1,350         0         0	Idand)         MIPR         Oct 97         N/A         N/A         1,115         0         0         0         0           Eglin)         MIPR         Oct 97         N/A         N/A         2,094         1,036         0         0         0           exaction Organizations         exaction Organizations         0         0         0         0         0         0

RDT&E PROGRAM ELEMENT/PR	OJECT COST BREAKDOWN (R-3)	DATE June 2001		
BUDGET ACTIVITY 04 - Demonstration and Validation	PE NUMBER AND TITLE  0604327F Hardened Target Munitio	PROJECT 4641		
Description Vehicle Date D Product Development Property N/A N/A Support and Management Property	Pelivery Total Prior Budget Budget Plate to FY 2000 FY 2000 FY 2001	Budget Budget to Tota FY 2002 Complete Progra		
Test and Evaluation Property  Subtotals Subtotal Product Development Subtotal Support and Management Subtotal Test and Evaluation Total Project	Total Prior to FY 2000         Budget FY 2000         Budget FY 2001           3,151         1,343         0           3,464         1,299         0           0         2,050         0           6,615         4,692         0	Budget         Budget to         Tota           FY 2002         Complete         Program           0         0         4,494           0         0         2,056           0         0         11,30°		
Project 4641	Page 5 of 5 Pages	Exhibit R-3 (PE 0604327F)		