#### EXHIBIT R-2, FY 2002 RDT&E,N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET

**BUDGET ACTIVITY: 4** 

PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0603800N

PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) PROGRAM

(U) COST (Dollars in Millions)

PROJECT NUMBER TITLE PROGRAM D2209	PRIOR YEAR COST	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	COST TO COMPLETE	TOTAL PROGRAM
JSF	1,371.033	238.420	341.164	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,950.617

Quantity of RDT&E Articles 4

- (U) A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION: The Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Program will develop and field an affordable, highly common family of next generation strike fighter aircraft for the USN, USMC, USAF and allies. The current phase emphasizes facilitating the evolution of fully validated and affordable joint operational requirements, and demonstrating cost leveraging technologies and concepts to lower risk prior to entering Engineering and Manufacturing Demonstration (EMD) in Fall 2001. This is a joint program with no executive service. Navy and Air Force each provide approximately equal shares of annual funding for the program. The United Kingdom is a collaborative partner in this phase of the program and several other countries also participate.
- (U) JUSTIFICATION FOR BUDGET ACTIVITY: This program is funded under DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION because it integrates hardware for test related to specific ship or aircraft applications.

#### EXHIBIT R-2, FY 2002 RDT&E,N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET

BUDGET ACTIVITY: 4

PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0603800N

PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) PROGRAM

(U) COST (Dollars in Millions)

PROJECT NUMBER TITLE PROGRAM	PRIOR YEAR COST	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	COST TO COMPLETE	TOTAL PROGRAM
D2209 JSF	1,371.033	238.420	341.164	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,950.617

Quantity of RDT&E Articles 4

- (U) A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION: The Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Program will develop and field an affordable, highly common family of next generation strike fighter aircraft for the USN, USMC, USAF and allies. The current phase emphasizes facilitating the evolution of fully validated and affordable joint operational requirements, and demonstrating cost leveraging technologies and concepts to lower risk prior to entering Engineering and Manufacturing Demonstration (EMD) in Fall 2001. This is a joint program with no executive service. Navy and Air Force each provide approximately equal shares of annual funding for the program. The United Kingdom is a collaborative partner in this phase of the program and several other countries also participate.
- (U) PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLANS:
- 1. (U) FY 2000 ACCOMPLISHMENTS: (Breakout reflects Navy, Air Force, United Kingdom, Multi-Lateral and Canadian funding)
- (U) (\$393.548) Continued Concept Demonstration efforts by Boeing, Lockheed Martin and Pratt & Whitney including ground and flight demonstrations, areas of technology maturation and concept refinement for a tri-service family of aircraft.
  - (U) (\$ 34.711) Continued development of a second engine (GE F-120 engine) for competition in production.
- (U) (\$ 56.462) Completed technology maturation demonstrations and continued assessments in the areas of airframe, flight systems, manufacturing and producibility, propulsion and mission systems. Continued systems engineering support for the Concept Demonstration Phase in the areas of system test, air vehicle analysis and integration, advanced cost estimating, survivability, integrated flight and propulsion control and carrier suitability.

R-1 Item No. 86

#### EXHIBIT R-2, FY 2002 RDT&E,N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET

BUDGET ACTIVITY: 4 PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0603800N

PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) PROGRAM

- (U) (\$ 9.002) Continued technology maturation demonstrations and assessments in the area of autonomic logistics and completed prognostics and health management technology maturation demonstrations and assessments.
- (U) (\$ 11.377) Continued modeling and simulation activities to support strike warfare mission area analysis and requirements analysis efforts including COPT to facilitate the Services' joint requirements definition. Completed the Operational Requirements Document (ORD). Continued modeling and simulation support testing, training, and refinement of concept of operations for the weapons system (simulation based acquisition).
  - (U) (\$ 16.909) Continued mission support, including program office functions.
  - (U) (\$522.009) Total
- 2. (U) FY 2001 PLAN: (Breakout reflects Navy, Air Force, United Kingdom and Multi-Lateral funding)
- (U) (\$368.713) Complete Concept Demonstration efforts by Boeing, Lockheed Martin and Pratt & Whitney including ground and flight demonstrations, areas of technology maturation and concept refinement for a tri-service family of aircraft. Request proposals from contractors for their designs and E&MD programs.
- (U) (\$ 172.000) Complete transition risk reduction activities under bridge contracts with Boeing, Lockheed Martin and Pratt & Whitney, and fund Pratt & Whitney long-lead requirements to protect EMD schedule; this is a Not-To-Exceed estimate.
- (U) (\$ 45.620) Complete the GE JSF F-120 Engine Phase IIIA effort (Common Core Design Trade Studies) in this Program Element. (GE F-120 Engine Development Program will continue in JSF E&MD, Program Elements 0604800N and 0604800F.)
- (U) (\$ 50.866) Complete assessments/system engineering support for the Concept Demonstration Phase in the areas of airframe, flight systems, manufacturing and producibility, mission systems, propulsion, autonomic logistics, system test, air vehicle analysis and integration, advanced cost estimating, survivability, integrated flight and propulsion control and carrier suitability. Complete analyses required for Milestone II. Conduct source selection evaluation to support down-select for final design.
- (U) (\$ 26.117) Complete modeling and simulation activities to support required Milestone II analyses. Complete modeling and simulation support testing, training, and refinement of concept of operations for the weapons system (simulation based acquisition).

R-1 Item No. 86

#### EXHIBIT R-2, FY 2002 RDT&E,N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET

BUDGET ACTIVITY: 4 PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0603800N

PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) PROGRAM

- (U) (\$ 21.515) Complete mission support, including program office functions.
- (U) (\$684.831) Total
- 3. (U) FY 2002 PLAN: Not Applicable
- (U) B. PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY: (Dollars in Millions)

(U) FY 2001 President's Budget:	<u>FY 2000</u> \$239.907	<u>FY 2001</u> \$131.566	<u>F Y</u> \$	0
(U) Adjustments from President's Budget:	-1.487	+209.598		
(U) FY 2002 President's Budget Submit:	\$238.420	\$341.164	\$	0

## (U) CHANGE SUMMARY EXPLANATION:

- (U) Funding: The FY 2000 decrease of \$1.487 million is due to a decrease of \$.534 million for a small business innovative research assessment, a decrease of \$.013 million for federal technology transfer and a decrease of \$.940 million for a Congressional recission. The FY 2001 net increase of \$209.598 million is due to a Congressional increase of \$111.484 million for a projected schedule delay, a Congressional reprogramming increase of \$100.344 million from PE 0604800N and a Congressional general reduction decrease of \$2.230 million.
  - (U) Schedule: Experienced delays in contractor flight test schedules, extending completion of this phase until Fall 2001.
  - (U) Technical: Not applicable.

R-1 Item No. 86

# EXHIBIT R-2, FY 2002 RDT&E,N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET

**BUDGET ACTIVITY: 4** 

PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0603800N

PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) PROGRAM

(U) C. OTHER PROGRAM FUNDING SUMMARY: (Dollars in Millions) This is a joint program with no executive service. The United Kingdom is a collaborative partner in this phase of the program and several other countries also participate.

A	EV 2000	FV 2004	TV 2002	FV 2002	EV 2004	EV 2005	FV 2000	EV 2007	TO	TOTAL
Appn (1) PDT05	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	<u>COMPLETE</u>	<u>COST</u>
(U) RDT&E	<b>#</b> 0.40.000	0044407	•	•	•	•		0	0	<b>#4.007.050</b>
0603800F	\$249.088	\$341.167	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$1,907.352
(U) RDT&E										
0603800E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$118.006
(U) UNITED										
KINGDOM	\$26.101	\$.800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$201.091
(U) MULTI-										
LATERAL	\$5.100	\$1.700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$32.100
(U)										
CANADA	\$3.300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$10.600
(U)										
ITALY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$10.000

(U) RELATED RDT&E: (Dollars in Millions)

Milestone II for EMD of the Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) is planned in Fall 2001.

(U) RDT&E	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	<u>FY 2005</u>	FY 2006	FY 2007	TO COMPLETE	TOTAL COST
0604800N (U) RDT&E	0	0	\$767.238	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
0604800F	0	0	\$769.511	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
(U) UNITED KINGDOM	0	0	\$95.000	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD

(U) RELATED PROCUREMENT FUNDING: TBD

R-1 Item No. 86

#### EXHIBIT R-2, FY 2002 RDT&E,N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET

BUDGET ACTIVITY: 4 PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0603800N

PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) PROGRAM

## (U) D. ACQUISITION STRATEGY:

Program activities in this phase center around three distinct objectives that provide a sound foundation for the start of Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) in Fall 2001:

- (1) facilitating the Services' development of fully validated, affordable operational requirements;
- (2) lowering risk by investing in and demonstrating key leveraging technologies that lower the cost of development, production and ownership; and
- (3) demonstrating operational concepts.

Early warfighter and technologist interaction was an essential aspect of the requirements definition process, and key to achieving JSF <u>affordability</u> goals. To an unprecedented degree the JSF Program used cost-performance trades early, as an integral part of the weapon system development process. The Services defined requirements through an iterative process, balancing weapon system capability against life cycle cost at every stage. Each iteration of requirements was provided to industry. They evolved their designs and provided cost data back to the warfighters. The warfighters evaluated trades and made decisions for the next iteration. This iterative process produced iterations of the Services' Joint Interim Requirements Documents in 1995, 1997, 1998 and culminated in the approved joint Operational Requirements Document (ORD) in FY 2000.

A sizable technology maturation effort was conducted to reduce risk and life cycle cost (LCC) through technology maturation and demonstrations. The primary emphasis was on technologies identified as high payoff contributors to <u>affordability</u>, supportability, survivability and lethality. Numerous demonstrations were accomplished to validate performance and life cycle cost impact to component, subsystem, and the total system.

In November 1996 contracts were competitively awarded to Boeing and Lockheed Martin for Concept Demonstration Programs. These competing contractors built and are flying concept demonstrator aircraft, conducted concept unique ground demonstrators, and refined their respective weapon system concepts. Specifically, Boeing and Lockheed Martin are demonstrating commonality and modularity, STOVL hover and transition, and low speed handling qualities of their respective weapon system concepts. Pratt and Whitney is providing propulsion hardware and engineering support. The JSF Concept Demonstration approach has several benefits:

- (1) maintains the competitive environment prior to EMD and provides for two different STOVL approaches and two different aerodynamic configurations
- (2) demonstrates the viability of a multi-service family of variants with high commonality and modularity between CTOL, CV, and STOVL variants
- (3) provides affordable and low risk technology transition to the JSF EMD phase.

The JSF F-120 Engine Program, with General Electric, continues the development of a second, interchangeable, engine for competition in production.

Downselect to a single prime weapon system contractor for EMD and Milestone II is planned in Fall 2001.

R-1 Item No. 86

# EXHIBIT R-2, FY 2002 RDT&E,N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET

BUDGET ACTIVITY: 4 PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0603800N

PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) PROGRAM

## (U) E. SCHEDULE PROFILE:

Dec 94 Commenced Concept Development Phase

Mar 96 Released RFP for Concept Demonstration Efforts

May 96 Designated a joint, DOD, Acquisition Category ID Program by USD(A&T)

Nov 96 Competitively Awarded Concept Demonstration Contracts to Boeing and Lockheed Martin

Mar 00 Services Completed Joint Operational Requirements Document (ORD)

Fall 01 Milestone II and EMD Contract Award

EXHIBIT R-3, FY 2002 RDT&E,N COST ANALYSIS

BUDGET ACTIVITY: 4	PROGRAM ELEMENT:	0603800N	USN	PROJECT NUMBER:	D2209
BUDGET ACTIVITY: 4	PROGRAM ELEMENT:	0603800F	USAF	PROJECT NUMBER:	2025
BUDGET ACTIVITY: 3	PROGRAM ELEMENT:	0603800E	DARPA	PROJECT NUMBER:	JA-01
BUDGET ACTIVITY: NA	PROGRAM ELEMENT:	N/A	UNITED KINGDOM	PROJECT NUMBER:	UK
BUDGET ACTIVITY: NA	PROGRAM ELEMENT:	N/A	MULTI-LATERAL	PROJECT NUMBER:	ML
BUDGET ACTIVITY: NA	PROGRAM ELEMENT:	N/A	CANADA	PROJECT NUMBER:	CAN
BUDGET ACTIVITY: NA	PROGRAM ELEMENT:	N/A	ITALY	PROJECT NUMBER:	ITALY
	PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE:	JOINT STRIE	KE FIGHTER (JSF)	PROJECT TITLE:	JSF
B. (U) BUDGET ACQUISITION	HISTORY AND PLANNING (\$ in Mill	ions) <u>No budge</u>	et in FY 1993 and Prior.		

Cost Categories:	Contract Method <u>&amp; Type</u>	Performing Activity & <u>Location</u>	Total PY's Cost	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award <u>Date</u>	FY 2002 Cost	FY 2002 Award <u>Date</u>	Cost To Complete	Total <u>Cost</u>	Target Value of <u>Contract</u>
PROJECT DEVELO	OPMENT_									
Strike Warfare Con	cept Studies (	Total Prior to FY 2002)								
	Various	Miscellaneous	11.467						11.467	
Technology Matura	•	xploration Phase (Tota		02)						
	Various	Fld. Activ.	3.432						3.432	
Strike Warfare Sys		evelopment (Total Prid								
	C/CPFF	Boeing Seattle WA	32.770						32.770	
	C/CPFF	McAir	23.708						23.708	
	C/CPFF	St. Louis MO Northrop	21.358						21.358	
		Pico Rivera CA								
	C/CPFF	Lockheed Fort Worth TX	28.311						28.311	
	Various	Miscellaneous	1.121						1.121	
	Various	Fld. Activ.	8.322						8.322	
SUBTOTA	L		115.590						115.590	
ASTOVL (Total Pri	or to FY 2002)									
	SS/CPFF	Lockheed	16.416						16.416	
	SS/CPFF	Boeing	11.200						11.200	
	Various	Miscellaneous	<u>15.539</u>						<u>15.539</u>	
SUBTOTA	L		43.155						43.155	
Core Team Suppor	t (Total Prior to	o FY 2002)								
	Various	Fld. Activ.	2.522						2.522	

R-1 Item No. 86

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Cost Analysis (Exhibit R-3, Page 8 of 12)

## EXHIBIT R-3, FY 2002 RDT&E,N COST ANALYSIS

Cost Categories:	Contract Method <u>&amp; Type</u>	Performing Activity & Location	Total PY's Cost	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award <u>Date</u>	FY 2002 <u>Cost</u>	FY 2002 Award <u>Date</u>	Cost To Complete	Total <u>Cost</u>	Target Value of Contract
Weapon System C	oncent Demons	strations (including flyir	ng demonstrator	s and supportin	a propulsion	efforts)				
TY CAPON CYCLONIC	C/CPFF	Boeing *	718.029	79.169	Oct 00	<u> </u>			797.198	797.198
	C/CPFF	Lockheed *	783.004	161.850	Oct 00				944.854	944.854
	SS/CPFF	Pratt & Whitney * Hartford CT	837.090	127.694	Oct 00				964.784	964.784
*includes governm	ent managed e	equipment								
	•	d Lockheed Martin repla logy maturation. Boein	•	•	•		•	,	,	
Pratt and Whitney	Total Value of	Contract reflects award	fees totaling \$3	5.1M, FY 1998	and prior, ba	sic CDP efforts	and technolog	y maturation effo	rts in	
Propulsion and Pro	ognostics and I	Health Management.								
Award Fees (include	ded in Pratt & \	Whitney Contract)								
SUBTOTA	\L		2,338.123	368.713					2,706.836	
Transition Risk Re	duction Activiti	es								
		P Boeing		43.333	Apr 01				43.333	43.333
		P Lockheed Martin		43.333	Apr 01				43.333	43.333
SUBTOTA		FF Pratt & Whitney		<u>85.334</u> 172.000	Apr 01				<u>85.334</u> 172.000	<u>85.334</u> 172.000
Note: The Risk Re Long Lead		acts Total Costs and Ta	rget Values are	Not-to-Excced I	Estimates. T	he Pratt & Whitr	ey Contract ir	ncludes		
F-120 Engine Prog	aram									
1-120 Eligille 1 Tog	SS/CPFF	GE Cincinnati OH	7.000						7.000	
	SS/CPFF	GE	<u>138.107</u>	45.620	Oct 00				183.727	186.727
SUBTOTA	۱L		145.107	45.620					190.727	
Note: The Target	Value includes	Propulsion Technology	Maturation effo	rts.						
Technology Matura	ation_									
<u>Airframe</u>										
	SS/CPFF	McAir	19.240						19.240	
	Various	Miscellaneous	2.135	.023	Various				2.158	
SUBTOTA	Various	Fld. Activ.	<u>6.777</u> 28.152	<u>1.141</u> 1.164	Nov 00				<u>7.918</u> 29.316	
SUBTUTA	L		20.102	1.104					29.310	

R-1 Item No. 86

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Cost Analysis (Exhibit R-3, Page 9 of 12)

## EXHIBIT R-3, FY 2002 RDT&E,N COST ANALYSIS

Cost Categories:	Contract Method <u>&amp; Type</u>	Performing Activity & Location	Total PY's Cost	FY 2001 <u>Cost</u>	FY 2001 Award <u>Date</u>	FY 2002 Cost	FY 2002 Award <u>Date</u>	Cost To Complete	Total <u>Cost</u>	Target Value of Contract
Flight Systems										
	C/CPFF	Lockheed	52.201						52.201	
	C/CPFF	McAir	65.944						65.944	
	Various	Miscellaneous	10.141	.178	Various				10.319	
	Various	Fld. Activ.	20.982	2.091	Nov 00				23.073	
SUBTOTA	L		149.268	2.269					151.537	
Manufacturing and	Producibility									
	C/CPFF	Hughes	5.065						5.065	
		Los Angeles CA								
	C/CPFF	Lockheed	9.600						9.600	
		General Res.								
	C/CPFF	Corp.	1.945						1.945	
		Huntsville AL								
	C/CPFF	Scaled Composites	2.000						2.000	
	C/CPFF	Lockheed	.700						.700	
	Various	Miscellaneous	1.619						1.619	
	Various	Fld. Activ.	5.148	.242	Nov 00				5.390	
SUBTOTA	L		26.077	.242					26.319	
Propulsion										
<del></del>	C/CPFF	Pratt/Whitney	5.448						5.448	
	SS/CPFF	GE	5.681						5.681	
	SS/CPFF	Pratt/Whitney	30.000						30.000	
	SS/CPFF	GE	3.000						3.000	
	SS/CPFF	Pratt/Whitney	26.777						26.777	
	SS/CPFF	Pratt & Whitney	3.640						3.640	
	SS/TBD	Pratt & Whitney	8.200						8.200	
	NASA Contra		2.800						2.800	
	Various	Miscellaneous	14.795	.050	Various				14.845	
	Various	Fld. Activ.	<u>47.893</u>	2.990	Nov 00				<u>50.883</u>	
SUBTOTA	L		148.234	3.040					151.274	

R-1 Item No. 86

## EXHIBIT R-3, FY 2002 RDT&E,N COST ANALYSIS

Cost Categories:	Contract Method <u>&amp; Type</u>	Performing Activity & <u>Location</u>	Total <u>PY's Cost</u>	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award <u>Date</u>	FY 2002 <u>Cost</u>	FY 2002 Award <u>Date</u>	Cost To Complete	Total <u>Cost</u>	Target Value of Contract
Mission Systems										
	C/CPFF	TI	2.464						2.464	
	00/0055	Plano TX	0.050						0.050	
	SS/CPFF	Lockheed	6.856						6.856	
	SS/CPFF C/CPFF	McAir	6.524						6.524	
	C/CPFF C/CPFF	Raytheon	45.173						45.173	
	C/CPFF C/CPFF	Northrop/Grumman Boeing	41.903 1.575						41.903 1.575	
	C/CPFF	Lockheed	1.575						1.515	
	C/CPFF	Hughes	3.681						3.681	
	Classified	Classified	3.000						3.000	
	Various	Miscellaneous	24.856	.221	Various				25.077	
	Various	Fld. Activ.	33.587	5.13 <u>5</u>	Nov 00				38.722	
SUBTOTA			171.136	5.356					176.492	
Systems Engineeri	ng Support									
	Various	Miscellaneous	24.642	5.626	Various				30.268	
	Various	Fld. Activ.	114.195	22.105	Nov 00				136.300	
SUBTOTA	L		138.837	27.731					166.568	
Autonomic Logistic	s									
	C/CPFF	Pratt & Whitney	10.100						10.100	
	C/CPFF	General Electric	1.500						1.500	
	C/CPFF	Classified								
	C/CPFF	Project 3	8.576						8.576	
	C/CPFF	Project 4	5.549						5.549	
	Various	Miscellaneous	9.584	2.571	Various				12.155	
		Fld. Activ.	<u>15.677</u>	6.244	Nov 00				21.921	
SUBTOTA	L		50.986	8.815					59.801	
Modeling, Simulation	on. Analysis. T	hreat, COPT and Core	Support							
<del></del>	Various	Miscellaneous	47.737	12.526	Various				60.263	
	Various	Fld. Activ.	32.874	13.191	Nov 00				46.065	
SUBTOTA	L		80.611	25.717					106.328	

R-1 Item No. 86

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Cost Analysis (Exhibit R-3, Page 11 of 12)

## EXHIBIT R-3, FY 2002 RDT&E,N COST ANALYSIS

Cost Categories:	Contract Method <u>&amp; Type</u>	Performing Activity & <u>Location</u>	Total PY's Cost	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award <u>Date</u>	FY 2002 Cost	FY 2002 Award <u>Date</u>	Cost To Complete	Total <u>Cost</u>	Target Value of Contract
Mission Support		Institute for								
	Grant	Defense Anal	2.500						2.500	
SUBTOTA	Various L	Fld. Activ.	<u>32.869</u> 35.369	<u>6.691</u> 6.691	Various				<u>39.560</u> 42.060	
Subtotal Project Development			3,488.066	667.358					4,155.424	
SUPPORT (CS)										
	SS/CPFF	ANSER Arlington VA	28.981	1.144	Jan 01				30.125	
	C/CPFF	SVERDRUP/ANTEON Arlington, VA	I	2.618	Apr 01				2.618	
	SS/CPFF	Stanley Assoc.		4.945	Apr 01				4.945	
	C/CPFF	Arlington, VA AEGIS		1.058	Apr 01				1.058	
	Various	Arlington, VA Miscellaneous	27.888	<u>7.708</u>	Various				35.596	
Subtotal Support	74.1040		56.869	17.473					74.342	
TEST AND EVALU	JATION: (inclu	ded above)								
MANAGEMENT: N	N/A									
Total Cost			3,544.935	684.831					4,229.766	
Funding Resources	S									
0603800N			1,609.453	341.164					1,950.617	
0603800F 0603800E			1,566.185 118.006	341.167 0					1,907.352 118.006	
United Kingdom			200.291	0.800					201.091	
Multi-Lateral			30.400	1.700					32.100	
Canada			10.600	0					10.600	
Italy			<u>10.000</u>	<u>0</u>					10.000	
Total			3,544.935	684.831					4,229.766	

R-1 Item No. 86

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Cost Analysis (Exhibit R-3, Page 12 of 12)