CLASSIFICATION:

EXHII	EXHIBIT R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification										
	June 2001										
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY											
RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVALUAT	ION, NAVY	/	BA-7			0205601N H	ARM Improver	nent			
	Prior										Total
COST (\$ in Millions)	Year Cost	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	Cost to Complete	Program
					- 						
Total PE Cost	0.000	36.773	39.409	13.630	 						
A1780* HARM Improvement	0.000	10.713	9.368	12.330]						
A2185* Advanced Anti-Radiation Guided Missile	***	****	****		I						
(AARGM)	109.475	24.959	27.717	0.000	1						
			*****		I						
A2211* Modernized Hellfire**	0.000	1.101	2.324	1.300	1						
					1						
					<u></u>						
Quantity of RDT&E Articles Not Applicable											

^{*}Funding for FY00 was executed under Project Units E1780, E2185, E2661 (Congressional Add) and E2211. Project Units A1780, A2185, A2661 (Congressional Add), A2983 (Congressional Add), and A2211 will be effective beginning FY01 due to realignment from PEO(T) to PEO(W).

- (U) A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION:
- (U) A1780/HIGH-SPEED ANTI-RADIATION (HARM) IMPROVEMENT: The International HARM Upgrade Program (IHUP) (Block IIIB/VI) is a tri-national Precision Navigation Unit (PNU) cooperative program consisting of a USN-unique tactical software upgrade and a hardware upgrade which includes an Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) and a Global Positioning System (GPS) receiver. This upgrade will provide a much improved guidance capability for the current AGM-88B missile (in German and Italian inventories) and AGM-88C missile (in U.S. inventory). This IMU/GPS system will be retrofitted into existing missiles, as a kit.
- (U) A2185/ADVANCED ANTI-RADIATION GUIDED MISSILE (AARGM) and A2661/AARGM (Congressional Add): AARGM is a Phase III Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR) program designed to demonstrate an advanced multi-mode seeker on an existing High speed Anti-Radiation Missile (HARM) airframe. A2983/QuickBolt (QB) is an advanced concept technology demonstration of Joint-suppression of Enemy Air Defense (J-SEAD) technology enhancements applicable to AARGM. For ease of tracking, Project Units W2661, E2661, A2661, and A2983 are included in the A2185 funding profile.
- (U) A2211/MODERNIZED HELLFIRE: Modernized Hellfire (MH) is the joint service program to support approved Army and USMC Mission Need Statements for future multi-role precision guided weapons. To fulfill these needs, the DON is participating with the Army in joint trade studies, requirements validation analysis, Advanced Technology Demonstration programs, hardware development, Analysis of Alternatives (AOA), and the development of Milestone support documentation. Specific potential applications include development of a single multi-role weapon to replace the legacy TOW, Maverick, and Hellfire missiles, as well as development of a low cost guidance capability for the legacy unguided 2.75" rocket system.
- (U) JUSTIFICATION FOR BUDGET ACTIVITY: This program is funded under OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT because it encompasses engineering and manufacturing development for upgrade of existing, operational systems.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 188

Exhibit R-2, RDTEN Budget Item Justification (Exhibit R-2, page 1 of 18)

^{**}Funding for FY00 was executed under Joint Advanced Weapons Systems (JAWS) RDT&E line.

^{***}Prior years funding was executed under project units E2185 and W2185.

^{****}The FY00 budget reflects a \$15M Congressional Add for AARGM executed under E2661, which has been revised by \$.452M for Congressional undistributed reductions.

^{*****}The FY01 budget reflects a \$20M Congressional Add for AARGM executed under A2661 (\$15M for AARGM) and A2983 (\$5M for QuickBolt)

^{******\$294}k has been identified as a reprogramming source for other high priority Navy requirements.

CLASSIFICATION:

	EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification										
	· ·										
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY		PROGRAM EL	LEMENT NUME	BER AND NAM	ΙE	PROJECT NU	MBER AND N	AME			
RDT&E, N / BA-7	0205601N F	IARM Improve	ment			A1780 HARM	Improvement				
	Prior										Total
COST (\$ in Millions)	Year Cost	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	Cost to Complete	Program
		*									
Project Cost	0.000	10.713	9.368	12.328							
RDT&E Articles Qty											

^{*}Funding for FY00 was executed under Project Unit E1780. PU A1780 will be effective beginning FY01 due to realignment from PEO(T) to PEO(W).

(U) A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION:

The High-speed Anti-Radiation Missile (HARM) is a joint service program with the Air Force (NAVY lead). The program has been in full production since FY 1983. Program Element 0205601N was used until FY 1990 to develop and test one hardware and two software upgrades to the HARM as Engineering Change Proposals (ECPs). Another ECP software program (Block V) was recently developed (FY96 through FY99) to modify HARM software in order to meet operational requirements. The Block V tactical software upgrade gives HARM improved geographic specificity and improved capability against advanced waveforms. HARM Block V software was distributed to the Fleet in FY00.

The International HARM Upgrade Program (IHUP) is a tri-national (U.S., Italy, and Germany) cooperative program designed to improve the HARM's effectiveness by enhancing the missile's probability of kill and reducing the potential for fratricide while making the missile easier to employ. The Program consists of significant hardware and software modifications to the missile's control and guidance sections. The USN frequently refers to the IHUP upgrade as Block IIIB/VI. The three nations involved have agreed to jointly fund the design, development, testing and production of hardware kits to be installed in the missile control section along with an improved software version to be installed in the missile guidance section. The HARM Block IIIB/VI program started development in FY98 and will commence production in FY03. Funding in FY00 through FY03 is dedicated to the HARM Block IIIB/IV program.

1. FY 2000 ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

- (U) (\$7.100) Continued design/development of Inertial Measurement sub-systems and development of hardware and software associated with Block IIIB/VI. Continued development of Block VI USN unique software sub-routines.
- (U) (\$.059) Continued Engineering and Project Management Services in support of the HARM Upgrade Program (Block IIIB/VI) contract.
- (U) (\$.866) Continued Government engineering support of the HARM Upgrade Program (Block IIIB/VI) including preparation for Critical Design Review of Block VI software/hardware design. Effort includes further engineering studies, threat analysis, 6 Degrees of Freedom (DOF) analysis, documentation analysis, interface definition, precision navigation engineering, and software quality evaluation.

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT I	DATE:		
	June 2001		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND N	ÂME
RDT&E, N / BA-7	i e		

2. FY2001 PLAN:

- (U) (\$5.266) Continue design/development of Inertial Measurement sub-systems and development of hardware and software associated with Block IIIB/VI. Continue development of Block VI USN unique software sub-routines.
- (U) (\$.256) Continue Engineering and Project Management Services in support of the HARM Upgrade Program (Block IIIB/VI) contract.
- (U) (\$1.027) Continue Government engineering support of the HARM Upgrade Program (Block IIIB/VI) including preparation for Critical Design Review of Block VI software/hardware design. Effort includes further engineering studies, threat analysis, 6 Degrees of Freedom (DOF) analysis, documentation analysis, interface definition, precision navigation engineering, and software quality evaluation.
- (U) (\$.145) Continue Government support of contractor testing including evaluation of test plans, reports, and preparation of detailed test planning documentation, and captive flight testing. Coordinate/attend/chair the Joint Test Plan Working Group and coordinate necessary program activities in support of future year Development Test/Operational Test (DT/OT).
- (U) (\$.176) Continue Government logistic support including logistics support analyses, maintenance engineering, support equipment engineering, and evaluating contractor designs.
- (U) (\$2.190) Continue Government and contractor participation in integration efforts. Continue developing the aircraft avionics updates required by the HARM Upgrade Program (Block VI) in addition to Command Launch Computer (CLC)/TAMPS upgrade efforts. Continue to develop HARM TAMPS/Mission Planning Module (MPM) rehost.
- (U) (\$0.308) Portion of extramural program reserved for Small Business Innovative Research assessment in accordance with 15 USC 68.

3. FY 2002 PLAN:

(U) (\$3.003) Continue design/development of Inertial Measurement sub-systems and development of hardware and software associated with Block IIIB/VI. Continue development of Block VI USN unique software sub-routines.

CLASSIFICATION: Unclassified

	EAHII	BIT R-2a, RDT&E	Project Justifi	ication		DATE:
PPROPRIATION/BU	IDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELE	MENT NI IMBE	R AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND	June 2001
RDT&E, N /	BA-7	0205601N HAF			A1780 HARM Improvement	
,,		020001111111	p.ovoo.		Trivo in an improvem	····
J) B. PROGRAM CH	ANGE SUMMARY:					
		FY2000	FY2001	FY2002		
U) FY 2001 Presiden	•	11.260	9.469	5.057		
, ,	the President's Budget:	-0.547	-0.101	7.273		
J) FY 2002/2003 Pre	sident's Budget Submit:	10.713	9.368	12.330		
CHANGE SUMMA	ARY EXPLANATION:					
(U) Funding:	The FY 2000 net decrease of	\$ 547 million reflects a	decrease of \$ 2	226 million for reprio	rization of requirements within the	e Navy, a decrease of \$.277 million for Small Business
(C) i dildilig.	Innovative Research assessm					That y, a decrease of \$1.277 million for email Business
						Λ
		φ σσ σσσισ σ	a acorcase or ψ.	o 14 million for repno	ritization of requirements within tr	ne Navy, a decrease of \$.066 million for a Congressional
	Reduction, and a .021 million	decrease for a Congre	essional Recission	on.	·	
	Reduction, and a .021 million The FY 2002 net increase of \$	decrease for a Congre 37.273 million reflects	essional Recissional Recissional Recissional Recissional Recission	on. .051 million for repric	·	he Navy, a decrease of \$.066 million for a Congressional he Navy, an increase of \$7.300 million for HARM IHUP
	Reduction, and a .021 million	decrease for a Congre 37.273 million reflects	essional Recissional Recissional Recissional Recissional Recission	on. .051 million for repric	·	
	Reduction, and a .021 million The FY 2002 net increase of \$	decrease for a Congre 37.273 million reflects	essional Recissional Recissional Recissional Recissional Recission	on. .051 million for repric	·	
(U) Schedule:	Reduction, and a .021 million The FY 2002 net increase of \$ EMD Integration, and an incre One quarter slip in the Flight 1	decrease for a Congre 67.273 million reflects ease of \$.022 million for est Readiness Review	essional Recissional Recissional Recissional Recission and decrease of \$. or economic ass	on. 051 million for repric sumptions.	·	he Navy, an increase of \$7.300 million for HARM IHUP
(U) Schedule:	Reduction, and a .021 million The FY 2002 net increase of \$ EMD Integration, and an incre	decrease for a Congre 67.273 million reflects ease of \$.022 million for est Readiness Review	essional Recissional Recissional Recissional Recission and decrease of \$. or economic ass	on. 051 million for repric sumptions.	ritization of requirements within t	he Navy, an increase of \$7.300 million for HARM IHUP
(U) Schedule: (U) Technical:	Reduction, and a .021 million The FY 2002 net increase of \$ EMD Integration, and an incre One quarter slip in the Flight 1	decrease for a Congre 67.273 million reflects ease of \$.022 million for est Readiness Review	essional Recissional Recissional Recissional Recission and decrease of \$. or economic ass	on. 051 million for repric sumptions.	ritization of requirements within t	he Navy, an increase of \$7.300 million for HARM IHUP
` ,	Reduction, and a .021 million The FY 2002 net increase of \$ EMD Integration, and an incre One quarter slip in the Flight The original FTRR date of 30 Sep	decrease for a Congre 67.273 million reflects ease of \$.022 million for est Readiness Review	essional Recissional Recissional Recissional Recission and decrease of \$. or economic ass	on. 051 million for repric sumptions.	ritization of requirements within t	he Navy, an increase of \$7.300 million for HARM IHUP
(U) Technical:	Reduction, and a .021 million The FY 2002 net increase of \$ EMD Integration, and an incre One quarter slip in the Flight The original FTRR date of 30 Sep	decrease for a Congre 67.273 million reflects ease of \$.022 million for est Readiness Review	essional Recissional Recissional Recissional Recission and decrease of \$. or economic ass	on. 051 million for repric sumptions.	ritization of requirements within t	he Navy, an increase of \$7.300 million for HARM IHUP
(U) Technical:	Reduction, and a .021 million The FY 2002 net increase of \$ EMD Integration, and an incre One quarter slip in the Flight 1 The original FTRR date of 30 Sep Not applicable. AM FUNDING SUMMARY:	decrease for a Congre 57.273 million reflects ease of \$.022 million for est Readiness Review 00 is now mid-Oct 01.	essional Recissional Recissional Recissional Recission and decrease of \$. or economic ass	on. 051 million for repric sumptions.	ritization of requirements within t	he Navy, an increase of \$7.300 million for HARM IHUP
(U) Technical: J) C. OTHER PROGRA <u>Line Item No.</u>	Reduction, and a .021 million The FY 2002 net increase of \$ EMD Integration, and an incre One quarter slip in the Flight 1 The original FTRR date of 30 Sep Not applicable. AM FUNDING SUMMARY:	decrease for a Congre 57.273 million reflects ease of \$.022 million for est Readiness Review 00 is now mid-Oct 01.	essional Recissional Recission	on. 051 million for repric sumptions.	ritization of requirements within t	he Navy, an increase of \$7.300 million for HARM IHUP
(U) Technical: J) C. OTHER PROGRALine Item No. WPN BLI 2327	Reduction, and a .021 million The FY 2002 net increase of \$\\$EMD Integration, and an incre One quarter slip in the Flight The original FTRR date of 30 Sep Not applicable. AM FUNDING SUMMARY: & Name FY 2000 700, HARM MODS 89.058	decrease for a Congre 57.273 million reflects ease of \$.022 million for est Readiness Review 00 is now mid-Oct 01.	essional Recissional Recission	on. 051 million for repric sumptions.	ritization of requirements within t	he Navy, an increase of \$7.300 million for HARM IHUP
(U) Technical: OC. OTHER PROGRALINE Item No. WPN BLI 2327	Reduction, and a .021 million The FY 2002 net increase of \$ EMD Integration, and an incre One quarter slip in the Flight 1 The original FTRR date of 30 Sep Not applicable. AM FUNDING SUMMARY: & Name FY 2000	decrease for a Congre 57.273 million reflects ease of \$.022 million for est Readiness Review 00 is now mid-Oct 01.	essional Recissional Recission	on. 051 million for repric sumptions.	ritization of requirements within t	he Navy, an increase of \$7.300 million for HARM IHUP
(U) Technical: C. OTHER PROGRALINE Item No. WPN BLI 2327	Reduction, and a .021 million The FY 2002 net increase of \$\\$EMD Integration, and an incre One quarter slip in the Flight The original FTRR date of 30 Sep Not applicable. AM FUNDING SUMMARY: & Name FY 2000 700, HARM MODS 89.058	decrease for a Congre 57.273 million reflects ease of \$.022 million for est Readiness Review 00 is now mid-Oct 01.	essional Recissional Recission	on. 051 million for repric sumptions.	ritization of requirements within t	he Navy, an increase of \$7.300 million for HARM IHUP
(U) Technical: OC. OTHER PROGRALINE Item No. WPN BLI 2327	Reduction, and a .021 million The FY 2002 net increase of \$\\$EMD Integration, and an incre One quarter slip in the Flight The original FTRR date of 30 Sep Not applicable. AM FUNDING SUMMARY: & Name FY 2000 700, HARM MODS 89.058	decrease for a Congre 57.273 million reflects ease of \$.022 million for est Readiness Review 00 is now mid-Oct 01.	essional Recissional Recission	on. 051 million for repric sumptions.	ritization of requirements within t	he Navy, an increase of \$7.300 million for HARM IHUP

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 18

Unclassified

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2a, RDTEN Project Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 4 of 18)

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT F	DATE:		
	June 2001		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND NA	AME
RDT&E, N / BA-7	0205601N HARM Improvement	A1780 HARM Improvement	

(U) D. ACQUISITION STRATEGY:

The HARM Block IIIB/VI Upgrade program is an ACAT III Program and will consist of three separate phases (EMD, Production, and Technology Evaluation and Assessment). The acquisition strategy for the HARM Block IIIB/VI Program is complete and is based upon a signed international Memorandum of Agreement with Germany, Italy, and the U.S. Navy; a tri-national Cooperative Operative Operational Requirements Document (CORD) details German, Italian, and U.S. Navy common requirements; and a Cooperative Test and Evaluation Master Plan (CTEMP) summarizes all test requirements. These three documents drive the overall acquisition approach to the HARM Block VI project.

Management of the Block IIIB/VI upgrade will be directed by a trilateral Steering Committee, however, the U.S. Navy Project Manager (in concert with Project Managers from Germany and Italy) is responsible for Program execution. Each partner will share one-third of "common costs," the U.S. Navy will fund Block VI unique costs, and the German and Italian participants will fund Block IIIB unique costs. Each country will pay its own aircraft integration costs.

The acquisition strategy delineates Industry and Government responsibilities. The contract strategy (i.e. hardware and software for missile, upgraded missile sections, contractor team responsibility for missile performance) assigns unique work tasks to each firm. Contract strategy is to issue contracts to Bodenseewerk Geratetechnik GmbH (BGT) (German), Alenia Marconi System (AMS) (Italian), and Raytheon Missile Systems (RMS) (U.S.) firms and will maximize use of commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS)/government-off-the-shelf (GOTS)/non-development items (NDI). Each Phase I (EMD) contract type and structure is tailored to the product of each firm.

FY 2002

(U) E. SCHEDULE PROFILE:

	1 1 2000	1 1 2001	1 1 2002
(U) Program Milestones			
(U) Engineering Milestones	PDR (1Q/00)	CDR(1Q/01)	FTRR(1Q/02)
(U) T&E Milestones		Combined DT/OT (Start 4Q/01)	Combined DT/OT (End 2Q/02)
(U) Contract Milestones		,	,

^{*} BGT and AMS Contracts are not funded with U.S. funds, but are significant milestones in the Block IIIB/VI contract schedule.

FY 2000

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 188

FY 2001

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2a, RDTEN Project Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 5 of 18)

CLASSIFICATION:

								DATE:				
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (na	ne 1)							DATE:		June 200	1	
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (pa	/ITY	PROGRAM E	I EMENT			PROJECT NU	JMBER AND I	NAME		Julie 200	•	
RDT&E, N / BA-7			ARM Improvem	nent		A1780 HARM Improvement						
Cost Categories	Contract	Performing	Total		FY 01		FY 02					
	Method	Activity &	PY s	FY 01	Award	FY 02	Award			Cost to	Total	Target Value
			Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date			Complete	Cost	of Contract
Block IIIB/VI Development		RSC, Tuc AZ - BGT, GE		5.26		5.536						
Block VI Development		NAWC WD, China Lake, CA		1.02	7 10/00	0.595						
Block VI ILS	WX	NAWC WD, Point Mugu, Ca		0.170	6 10/00	0.891	10/01					
Subtotal Product Development			0.000	6.46	9	7.022						
SBIR Assessment				0.30	8							
Subtotal Support			0.000	0.30	8	0.000						
Remarks:												

CLASSIFICATION:

								DATE:					
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (pa	ge 2)									June 200)1		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV	/ITY	PROGRAM E					PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME						
RDT&E, N / BA-7		0205601N HA		ent		A1780 HARM Improvement							
Cost Categories	Contract	Performing	Total	EV 04	FY 01	EV 00	FY 02			0 1 1 -	T-1-1	T	
	Method & Type			FY 01 Cost	Award Date	FY 02 Cost	Award Date			Cost to Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract	
Block IIIB/VI	WX	NAWC WD, China Lake, CA		0.145	+	0.779				Complete	Cost	Of Contract	
HARM Technical/Integration Studies	WX	NAWC WD, China Lake, CA	l .	2.190		4.317						-	
HARW Technical/integration Studies	VVA	NAVVO VVD, Clilla Lake, CA		2.190	10/00	4.317	10/01					-	
												+	
Subtotal T&E			0.000	2.335	5	5.096	;						
Remarks:													
Block VI Travel	WX	NAWCAD, Patuxent, MD		0.087	7 10/00	0.052	10/01						
Block VI Tech Assess/Mgmt Sup	RX	DCS, Norfolk VA		0.169	02/01	0.160	12/01						
Subtotal Management			0.000	0.256	6	0.212	2						
Remarks:													
Total Cost			0.000	9.368	3	12.330)						
Remarks:													

CLASSIFICATION:

	EXHIBIT R-2a,	RDT&E Pro	ject Justifica	tion				DATE:			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME PROJECT NUMBER AT										
RDT&E, N / BA-7	0205601N HA	RM Improveme	ent			A2185* Adva	nced Anti-Rad	iation Guided N	lissile (AARGN	۸)	
	Prior										Total
COST (\$ in Millions)	Year Cost	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	Cost to Complete	Program
	**	***	****								
Project Cost	109.475	24.959	27.717	0.000							
RDT&E Articles Qty											

^{*}Funding for FY00 was executed under Project Unit E2185/E2661 for Congressional Add.

(U) A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION:

The Advanced Anti-Radiation Guided Missile (AARGM) Project is a Phase III Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR) program to develop and demonstrate a multi-mode guidance section on a HARM airframe. The AARGM Phase III technology demonstration program is designed to demonstrate that a Multi-mode (passive Anti-Radiation Homing (ARH)/active Millimeter Wave (MMW) Radar/Global Positioning system/Inertial Navigation System (GPS/INS)) missile can engage and destroy enemy air defenses in the event that these systems "shut-down" or employ other countermeasures.

The issue of emitter "shut-down" as a defensive tactic has been a major shortcoming in the joint suppression of enemy air defenses (J-SEAD) element of the offensive counter air mission area for the United States Navy and Air Force. Program objectives are to demonstrate an effective and affordable lethal SEAD capability against mobile, relocatable, or fixed air defense threats even in the presence of emitter shutdown or other Anti-Radiation Missile (ARM) countermeasures. The multi-mode technology being developed in the AARGM program has very high potential to solve the problem of "shut-down" not only in the primary weapon for J-SEAD, the High Speed Anti-Radiation Missile (HARM), but it could be integrated with many other missile airframes.

The AARGM technology demonstration program is an outgrowth of a Phase I and II competitive SBIR program. Phase I and II SBIR efforts successfully demonstrated the feasibility of a multi-mode seeker to address radar "shut-down" issues. Science and Applied Technology (SAT), Inc. (San Diego, CA), was awarded Phase I and II contracts (FY90-93) and was subsequently selected for a Phase III demonstration in FY94. Phase III work is being performed by SAT under NAVAIR contract N00019-94-C-0078. This contractual effort will continue to be incrementally funded, under program element 0205601N, resulting in a cumulative contract value of \$150.4M.

From FY93 through FY98, the AARGM program was a Congressionally mandated program which received its funding as an annual Congressional add. Starting in FY99, AARGM received its program funding through the standard DoD budget appropriation process. The FY99 funds added by Congress are being used to perform risk reduction tasks in preparation for a potential Milestone B (II) Decision in FY 2003. Additionally, in FY00 the AARGM program was selected as the vehicle for the Quick Bolt Advanced Concept Technology Demonstration (ACTD). This ACTD is planned for execution from FY00 through FY03.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 188

Exhibit R-2a, RDTEN Project Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 8 of 18)

^{**}Prior years' funding was executed under project units E2185, W2185 and W2661 for Congressional Add.

^{***}The FY00 budget reflects a \$15M Congressional Add for AARGM executed under E2661, which has been revised by \$.452M for Congressional undistributed reductions.

^{****}The FY01 budget reflects a \$20M Congressional Add for AARGM executed under A2661 (\$15M for AARGM) and A2983 (\$5M for QuickBolt)

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT I	DATE:		
	June 2001		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND NA	AME
RDT&E, N / BA-7	0205601N HARM Improvement	A2185 Advanced Anti-Radi	ation Guided Missile (AARGM)

- (U) PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLANS:
 - 1. FY 2000 ACCOMPLISHMENTS:
 - (U) (\$5.890) Contractor conducted chamber tests of the software evaluation station/brassboard. Contractor continued unique AARGM design and commenced captive flight test preparation. Contractor completed Control Test Vehicle integration, testing, and test analysis. Contractor finalized development of AARGM prototype, including hardware/software design upgrades, subsystems assembly and test, prototype integration and testing, and prototype captive carry test. Contractor completed Control Test Vehicle (CTV) flights 1 and 2.
 - (U) (\$3.287) Field activity provided AARGM system engineering support of development and systems integration efforts. Continued weapon system testing studies to assess weapons technology performance and deficiencies.
 - (U) (\$.739) Contractor performed program management and engineering services in support of the AARGM technology demonstration program. Provided technical management support and coordination of AARGM Program weapons system technology studies.
 - (U) (\$.043) Continued Government technical management, engineering support, and coordination of AARGM Program weapons system technology development program.
 - (U) (\$15.000) Contractor continued risk reduction, producibility enhancements, and Quick Bolt Advanced Concept Technology Demonstration (ACTD) acitivities. Activities include performance and affordability enhancements of the Anti-Radiation Homing (ARH) receiver, the MillimeterWave (MMW) Radar Transceiver, the AARGM battery, and the Low-Band Antenna Array Receiver. Related efforts include radome material trade studies, aircraft integration studies, tactical software enhancements, and tactical sensitivity and Electro-Magnetic Interference (EMI) enhancements.

CLASSIFICATION:

EXHIBIT F	DATE:								
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND NA	AME						
RDT&E, N / BA-7									

(U) PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLANS:

2. FY 2001 PLAN:

- (U) (\$3,358) Contractor to complete captive flight testing of the AARGM brassboard. Contractor to complete development of AARGM prototype Guided Test Vehicle, to include hardware/software design upgrades, subsystems assembly and test, prototype integration and testing, and prototype captive carry test and data analysis.
- (U) (\$3,469) Field activity to complete AARGM system engineering support of development and systems integration efforts. Complete weapon system testing studies to assess weapons technology performance and deficiencies.
- (U) (\$.703) Contractor to perform program management and engineering services in support of the AARGM technology demonstration program. Provide technical management support and coordination of AARGM Program weapons system technology studies
- (U) (\$14,371) Contractor continued risk reduction and producibility enhancements activities including performance and affordability enhancements of the Anti-Radiation Homing (ARH) receiver, the MillimeterWave (MMW) Radar Transceiver, the AARGM battery, and the Low-Band Antenna Array Receiver. Related efforts include radome material trade studies, aircraft integration studies, tactical software enhancements, and tactical sensitivity and Electro-Magnetic Interference (EMI) enhancements.
- (U) (\$4,790) Contractor commenced Quick Bolt Advanced Concept Technology Demonstration (ACTD) acitivities to demonstrate the utility of integrating off board sensor cueing into a new HARM seeker and the utility of providing Weapon Impact Assessment data for BDA cueing.
- (U) (\$.080) Complete Government technical management, engineering support, and coordination of AARGM Program weapons system technology development program.
- (U) (\$.946) Portion of extramural program reserved for Small Business Innovative Research assessment in accordance with 15 USC 68.

R-1 SHOPPING LIST - Item No. 188

Exhibit R-2a, RDTEN Project Justification (Exhibit R-2a, page 10 of 18)

CLASSIFICATION:

	EXH	IIBIT R-2a, RDT&E∃		DATE:		
						June 2001
APPROPRIATION/BL	JDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELE	MENT NUMBE	R AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND N	IAME
RDT&E, N /	BA-7	0205601N HAR	M Improveme	nt	A2185 Advanced Anti-Rad	iation Guided Missile (AARGM)
(U) B. PROGRAM	CHANGE SUMMARY:					
		FY2000	FY2001	FY2002		
(U) FY 2001 Presid	lent's Budget:	25.700	8.979	0		
(U) Adjustments fro	om the President's Budget:	-0.741	18.738	0		
(U) FY 2002 Presid	lent's Budget Submit:	24.959	27.717	0		

CHANGE SUMMARY EXPLANATION:

(U) Funding: The FY 2000 net decrease of \$.741million reflects a \$.641 million reprioritization of requirements within the Navy and a \$.100 million decrease for a Congressional recission.

The FY 2001 net increase of \$18.738 million reflects a \$15.000 million Congressional add for Advanced Anti-Radiation Guided Missile risk reduction and producibility enhancements; to be executed under A2661; a \$5.000 million Congressional add for the QuickBolt Advanced Concept Technology Demonstration (ACTD) to be executed under A2983, offset by

a decrease of \$.996 million for a reprioritization of requirements within the Navy; a \$.202 million decrease for Congressional reduction, and a \$.064K decrease for a Congressional Recission.

Congressional Recissi

(U) Schedule: Not applicable.

(U) Technical: Not applicable.

(U) C. OTHER PROGRAM FUNDING SUMMARY: Not applicable.

Related RDT&E: Not applicable.

(U) D. ACQUISITION STRATEGY:

The HARM AARGM program is an advanced technology demonstration program. AARGM started as a Phase I Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR) program and has evolved into a Phase III SBIR program. The acquisition strategy for the AARGM Program is based upon U.S. Navy operational requirements; the AARGM program is driven by the conclusion derived from an Analysis of Alternatives for advanced Suppression of Enemy Air Defenses (SEAD) technology. Current acquisition strategy is consistent with the FY98 independent program review forwarded to Congress by SECNAV and the FY99 Authorization Report. The innovative research AARGM demonstration is fully funded and executable and will result in fabrication of research articles and limited flight testing of the AARGM multi-mode seeker with moderate risk. The U.S. Navy Project Manager is responsible for Program management and execution. AARGM's acquisition strategy delineates Industry and Government responsibilities. The contract strategy (i.e. software evaluation, control test vehicle development and testing, guided test vehicle development and testing) assigns work package tasks to a primary contractor, Science and Applied Technology (SAT) Corp. The SAT contract is funded on an incremental basis with work scope defined in contract options and contract modification statements of work. Government responsibilities include monitoring, technical assessment and validation of contractor technology development. The AARGM technology demonstration is expected to be completed by the 4th qtr of FY01. In FY00, the AARGM Project pursued enhanced system capabilities including AARGM producibility improvements as well as a Quick Bolt Advanced Concept Technology Development (ACTD) Initiative. Enhancements will effect major AARGM subsystems and interfaces as well as software and hardware.

CLASSIFICATION:

			EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E Project Justification									
APPROPRIATION/BUI	DOET ACTIVITY	PPOGE	RAM ELEMENT NUMBER ANI	D NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND I	June 2001						
RDT&E, N /	BA-7		1N HARM Improvement	DINAME		diation Guided Missile (AARGM)						
RDIGE, N /	DA-1	020300	IN HAKWIIIIproveilleilt		AZ 105 AUVAIICEU AIIII-RAG	diation Guided Missile (AARGM)						
(U) E. SCHEDULE PRO												
The AARGM program is a	an Advanced Technolog	gy Program and therefore doe	s not have a standard detailed Mi	ilestone Plan. A list	of key actions appears below.							
AARGM PROGRAM		FY 2000	FY 2001	FY2002	To Complete	<u>e</u>						
Software Evaluation St	ation/Brassboard											
Hardware/Software D	evelopment	Complete 1Q/00										
Chamber Tests		Complete 1Q/00										
Brassboard Captive Fli	ght Tests (CFTs)											
Unique Design and C		Complete 1Q/00										
Contractor Managed		Complete 1Q/00	Campleta 20/04									
Captive Flight Testing		Begin 3Q/00	Complete 3Q/01									
Control Test Vehicles (CTVs)											
Integration and Test		Complete 2Q/00										
CTV Flights Test and	Anaysis	Complete 3Q/00										
Prototype of Guided Te	est Vehicles (GTVs)											
Hardware/Software D		Complete 1Q/00										
Subsystems Assembl		Complete 1Q/00										
Integration and Testin		Complete 4Q/00 4Q/00										
Captive and Carry Te	SI	4Q/00										
Guided Test Vehicles (
Hardware/Software D		Continue	Complete 3Q/01									
Subsystems Assembl	y and Test	Continue	Complete 3Q/01									
Integration and Test GTV Live Fire Test ar	nd Analysis	Begin 1Q/00	Complete 4Q/01 4Q/01									
0.7 ENOT 110 103t at	ia / ilialyolo		T-94/ O 1									
Contractor Design and	Trade Studies	4Q/00	4Q/01									

CLASSIFICATION:

Evhibit B. 2 Cost Applysis (pr	ao 1)							DATE:		June 2001				
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (pa	ge i)	PROGRAM EI	EMENT	PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME										
RDT&E, N / BA-7	VIII	0205601N HA		ant		A2185 Advanced Anti-Radiation Guided Missile (AARGM)								
Cost Categories	Contract		Total	ent I	FY 01	AZ 165 AUVAII	A2185 Advanced Anti-Radiation Guided Missile (AARGM)							
Cost Categories	Method	Activity &		FY 01	Award	FY 02	Award			Cost to	Total	Target Value		
	& Type			Cost	Date	Cost	Date			Complete	Cost	of Contract		
Adv Tech Dev and Risk Reduction	CPFF	SAT, Woodland Hills, CA	95.214											
Engineering Support	WX	NAWC WD, China Lake, CA	12.152	3.469	10/00									
Engineering/Tech Assessment	CPIF	JHU/APL, MD	0.615											
Risk Reduction	CPFF	SAT, Woodland Hills, CA	23.805	14.371	04/01									
Quick Bolt	CPFF	SAT, Woodland Hills, CA	0.000	4.790	07/01									
Subtotal Product Development			131.786	25.988		0.000)							
SBIR Assessment				0.946	i									
Subtotal Support			0.000	0.946		0.000)							
Remarks:														

CLASSIFICATION:

											DATE:					
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (page 2)													June 200	1		
APPROPRIATION/BUD		PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT NUMBER														
RDT&E, N /	BA-7			0205601N H		ment			A2185 Advanced Anti-Radiation Guided Missile (AARGM)							
Cost Categories		Contract	Performing	rforming	Total	E)/ 04		FY 01	E)/ 00	FY 02			0 1 - 1 -	T. (.)		
		Method & Type	Activity & Location		PY s Cost	FY 01 Cost		ward ate	FY 02 Cost	Award Date			Cost to Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract	
		и турс	Location		0031	0031		ato	Cost	Duic			Complete	0031	Or Contract	
Subtotal T&E					0.00	0 0	0.000		0.0	00						
			-	·				<u> </u>			. 	·				
Remarks:																
		1	•		•	1					•	ı	•	1		
Travel		WX	NAWCAD, Pati		0.28	0 0	0.080	10/00								
Technical Assessment/Mg	mt Supp	RX/LOE	DCS Alexandri	a, VA	2.46	8 0	0.703	12/00								
Subtotal Management					2.74	8 0	0.783		0.0	00						
D																
Remarks:																
Total Cost					134.53	4 27	7.717		0.0	00						
		•	•							•			-	•		
Remarks:																

CLASSIFICATION:

	DATE:										
	June 2001										
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER AND NAME PROJECT NUMBER AND N											
RDT&E, N / BA-7	0205601N HA	RM Improveme	ent			A2211 MODERNIZED HELLFIRE*					
	Prior										Total
COST (\$ in Millions)	Year Cost	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	Cost to Complete	Program
Project Cost	0.000	1.101	2.324**	1.300							
RDT&E Articles Qty		·	·								

^{*}Funding for FY00 was executed under Project Unit E2211 and the Joint Advanced Weapons Systems (JAWS) RDT&E line. PU A2211 will be effective beginning FY01 due to the realignment from PEO(T) to PEO(W)

**\$294K has been identified as a reprogramming source for other high priority Navy requirements.

(U) A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION:

Modernized Hellfire (MH) is the joint service program to support approved Army and USMC Mission Need Statements for future multi-role precision guided weapons. DON efforts support joint trade studies, requirements validation analysis, Advanced Technology Demonstration programs, hardware development, AOAs, and the development of Milestone support documentation. Through Memorandums of Understanding, the Army is assigned as the lead service for these follow-on weapon efforts, and service responsibilities are established for the Concept Exploration phase. The DON participates in technology modeling and simulation efforts at the Army's Advanced Prototyping, Engineering, and eXperimentation (APEX) Laboratory. The APEX Lab supports requirements validation and hardware development efforts to explore weapon system component capabilities. As a simulator, the APEX Lab also allows operator input using mission-oriented Tactics, Techniques and Procedures to prove-out proposed capabilities and to validate desired requirements. All MH efforts support the DON's requirements for state-of-the-art weapon capabilities to complement the next generation of aircraft and to best defeat the threats of the post-2000 battlefield.

(U) PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLANS:

1. FY 2000 ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

-(U) (\$1.101) Expanded APEX evaluation of Military Operations in Urban Terrain (MOUT), as well as incorporation of fixed wing parameters into the APEX model. Continued flight modeling evaluations of the Advanced Precision Kill Weapon System (APKWS) guided rocket system for the unguided 2.75" rocket. Evaluated potential hardware component technical solutions. Performed initial validation of proposed requirements for the MH and APKWS. (\$.850 Army and \$.251 government in-house)

2. FY 2001 PLAN:

-(U) (**\$1.937) Initiate formal validation of proposed requirements for the MH. Effort to include rotary and fixed wing evaluation using Man-in-the-Loop modeling and simulation facilities at the APEX Lab and at NAWC-WD. Effort will establish requirements for the draft NH Joint ORD. Participate with the Army in their studies related to the Joint Common Missile (JCM). Evaluate potential component technical solutions for future weapons via joint modeling and hardware development efforts. (\$.924 Army and \$1.860 government in-house).

-(U) (\$0.093) Portion of extramural program reserved for Small Business Innovative Research assessment in accordance with 15 USC 68.

3. FY 2002 PLAN:

-(U) (\$1.300) Initiate the formal AOA to recommend a material solution to satisfy the MNS for MH. Evaluate potential component technical solutions for future weapons via joint modeling and hardware development efforts. Participate with the Army in their studies related to the JCM. Participate with the Army on development, integration, and testing efforts for the APKWS. (\$.085 Army and \$1.215 government in-house).

CLASSIFICATION:

	EXHIBIT R-2a, RDT&E F	Project Justifi	cation	DATE:
				June 2001
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	PROGRAM ELE	MENT NUMBE	R AND NAME	PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME
RDT&E, N / BA-7	0205601N HAR	M Improvemer	nt	A2211 MODERNIZED HELLFIRE
(U) B. PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY:				
	FY2000	FY2001	FY2002	
(U) FY 2001 President's Budget:	1.467	2.907	3.819	
(U) Adjustments from the President's Budget:	-0.366	-0.583	-2.519	
(U) FY 2002 President's Budget Submit:	1.101	2.324	1.300	
CHANGE SUMMARY EXPLANATION:				
Innovative Research	(SBIR) Assessment, and a	decrease of \$.0	06 for a Congressior	ation of requirements within the Navy, a decrease of \$.036 million for a Small Business nal recission.

for reprioritization of requirments within the Navy, a decrease of \$4.500 for a Congressional reduction, and an increase of \$.002 for economic assumptions.

The FY 2002 net decrease of \$2.519 million reflects an increase of \$1.993 million to begin the Program Definition Risk Reduction (PDRR) Phase, a decrease of \$.014 million

- (U) Schedule: Not applicable.
- (U) Technical: Not applicable.
- (U) C. OTHER PROGRAM FUNDING SUMMARY:

Related RDT&E: U. S. Army P.E. 0603313A PROJ D263 Future Missile Technology Insertion (FMTI).

- (U) D. ACQUISITION STRATEGY: Not an ACAT program with no specific acquisition strategy.
- (U) E. SCHEDULE PROFILE: Not applicable.

Related RDT&E: U. S. Army P.E. 0603313A PROJ D263 Future Missile Technology Insertion (FMTI).

CLASSIFICATION:

								DATE:					
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analy	ysis (page 1)					June 2001							
APPROPRIATION/BUDGI		PROGRAM				PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME							
	BA-7		HARM Improven	nent	E) (0.4	A2211 Modernized Hellfire							
Cost Categories	Contra Method		Total PY s	FY 01	FY 01 Award	FY 02	FY 02 Award		Cost to	Total	Target Value		
	& Туре		Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date		Complete	Cost	of Contract		
APEX Lab	MIPR	AAMCOM, Huntsville, AL	0.000	0.485	12/00	0.085	12/01						
Tech evaluation & Develor	oment MIPR	TRADOC Norfolk, VA	0.000	0.18	12/00								
Subtotal Product Developme	ent		0.000	0.670)	0.085							
Engineering Technical Service	es C/TMM	DCS Corp, Alexandria VA	0.000	0.21	5 01/01	0.160	01/02						
Engineering Technical Service	es C/TMM	Whitney, Bradley and Brown	0.000	0.363	01/01	0.240							
Subtotal Support			0.000	0.578	3	0.400							
Remarks:													

CLASSIFICATION:

									1					
F 1 7 7 P 0 0 1 A 1 1 1 1	۵)							DATE:						
Exhibit R-3 Cost Analysis (pag	je 2)	T					June 2001							
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY PROGRAM ELEMENT							PROJECT NUMBER AND NAME							
RDT&E, N / BA-7		02056011					A2211 Modernized Hellfire							
Cost Categories	Contract	Performing	Total			FY 01		FY 02						
	Method	Activity &	PY s		FY 01	Award	FY 02	Award			Cost to	Total	Target Value	
	& Type	Location	Cost		Cost	Date	Cost	Date			Complete	Cost	of Contract	
Testing	WX	NAWC WD, China Lake	, CA	0.000	0.607		0.772	11/01					-	
SBIR Assessment					0.093	3								
Subtotal T&E				0.000	0.700		0.772							
		•	-	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	
Remarks:														
Nomano.														
							T					1	1	
Travel	WX	NAWC AD, Patuxent River		0.000	0.072		0.033	•		+				
Travel	MIPR	AAMCOM, Huntsville, AL		0.000	0.010	11/00	0.010	11/01	1				_	
									1				_	
													 	
													_	
Subtotal Management				0.000	0.082	2	0.043	3						
Remarks:														
Total Cost				0.000	2.030)	1.300)						
Deved			•	•		•		•			•	•		
Remarks:														