**June 2001** 

BUDGET ACTIVITY
4 - DEM/VAL

PE NUMBER AND TITLE

0603308A - Army Missile Defense Systems Integration

	COST (In Thousands)	FY 2000 Actual	FY 2001 Estimate	FY 2002 Estimate	FY 2003 Estimate	FY 2004 Estimate	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Estimate	FY 2007 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
		Actual	Estimate	Estillate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estillate	Complete	
	Total Program Element (PE) Cost	68213	96380	19491	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
978	SPACE CONTROL	0	2972	1005	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
989	NAUTILUS/THEL	24794	14862	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
990	SPACE AND MISSILE DEFENSE INTEGRATION	28199	58556	4568	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
997	SPACE AND MISSILE DEFENSE BATTLELAB	15220	19990	12231	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
99A	ARMY AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE	0	0	1687	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification:

PLEASE NOTE: This administration has not addressed FY2003-2007 requirements. All FY 2003-2007 budget estimates included in this book are notional only and subject to change.

This program element funds missile defense systems integration efforts for both the Army Space and Missile Defense Command (SMDC) and the Program Executive Office for Air and Missile Defense (PEO-AMD).

SMDC: HQDA General Order No. 5, 1 March 1998, designated the US Army Space and Missile Defense Command (USASMDC) the specified proponent for space and National Missile Defense (NMD), and the operational integrator for Theater Missile Defense (TMD). In response to this designation, the Missile Defense Battle Integration Center (MDBIC) and other existing USASMDC elements were reorganized and merged to form the Space and Missile Defense Battle Lab (SMDBL). The SMDBL is chartered to develop warfighting concepts, focus military science and technology research, and conduct warfighting experiments. The reorganization also created the Force Development and Integration Center (FDIC), a major support element of USASMDC. This program element funds the FDIC, created to execute the specified proponency role of the USASMDC. The FDIC develops space and NMD solutions to Doctrine, Training, Leader Development, Organization, Materiel, and Soldiers (DTLOMS) and executes their implementation. This program element funds the production of requirements for hardware and software solutions, the interfaces with technology development, and the development of operational and system architectures for space, NMD and TMD. In addition, this program element funds analysis and experimentation integrating the pillars of TMD (active defense, passive defense, attack operations, and battle management/command, control, communications, computers, and intelligence functions) and inputting Army TMD requirements into Joint forums. This program also supports Aviation and Artillery attack operation systems, and passive missile defense materiel solutions.

PEO-AMD: The mission of the United States Army Program Executive Office for Air and Missile Defense (PEO AMD) is to develop, acquire, and field Theater Air and Missile Defense (TAMD) systems. These systems provide the capabilities needed to defend friendly forces and assets against attack by enemy aircraft, cruise missiles, and theater ballistic missiles (TBMs).

## **ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R-2 Exhibit)**

**June 2001** 

BUDGET ACTIVITY **4 - DEM/VAL** 

PE NUMBER AND TITLE

0603308A - Army Missile Defense Systems Integration

The Army is developing and procuring individual TAMD weapon systems that must be integrated to form a Family of Systems (FoS). It is the PEO's responsibility to ensure the Army TAMD FoS is developed as an integrated capability. The PEO must integrate Army and Joint requirements in order to satisfy both needs. The PEO must support interoperability systems engineering, simulation, analysis, and evaluation in order to integrate the Family of Systems. Funding will allow the PEO to sufficiently address both Army and Joint interoperability requirements, ensuring an effective Army TAMD FoS.

B. Program Change Summary	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003
Previous President's Budget (FY2001 PB)	61528	12573	15760	0
Appropriated Value	63553	97273	0	
Adjustments to Appropriated Value	0	0	0	
a. Congressional General Reductions	0	0	0	
b. SBIR / STTR	-1519	0	0	
c. Omnibus or Other Above Threshold Reductions	-232	0	0	
d. Below Threshold Reprogramming/Supplemental	7200	0	0	
e. Rescissions	-789	-893	0	
Adjustments to Budget Years Since FY2001 PB	0	0	3731	
Current Budget Submit (FY 2002/2003 PB )	68213	96380	19491	0

Change Summary Explanation:

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIF	TICATION (R-2 Exhibit)	June 2001
BUDGET ACTIVITY	PE NUMBER AND TITLE	
4 - DEM/VAL	0603308A - Army Missile Defense Syst	ems Integration
FY01 increases due to various Congressional adds to the President's Budget (\$84.7 FY02 increases due to re-alignments to meet critical Army priorities.	7M).	

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET IT	EM JU	STIFI	CATIO	N (R-2	A Exhi	bit)	Jı	ıne 2001		
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - DEM/VAL			E NUMBER . 0603308A Integratio	- Army I		efense Sys	tems		PROJECT <b>978</b>	
COST (In Thousands)	FY 2000 Actual	FY 2001 Estimate	FY 2002 Estimate	FY 2003 Estimate	FY 2004 Estimate	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Estimate	FY 2007 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
978 SPACE CONTROL	0	2972	1005	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: Space control supports the Legacy to Objective transition path of the Army Transformation Campaign Plan (TCP) by providing funding that will help meet current Army Requirements Review Committee guidance, DEPSECDEF directives and Army Space Negation and Surveillance ORD requirements. Space Control has gained much importance with the increase in foreign government, consortium, and commercial space-based assets. Potential adversaries will have the capability to capitalize on these assets to identify friendly activities and operations, increase their lethality and intelligence gathering efforts, and thus reduce our survivability, agility, versatility and information superiority. Space Control is a Theater and Corps system designed to provide the following: 1) Advanced Space Surveillance, which will characterize adversary space-based assets, identify their capabilities, and provide information relating to their intentions and how they can influence our operations; 2) Aerospace Control, which will deny and disrupt adversary imaging capabilities at varying ranges and altitudes; and 3) Decision Support System to plan, coordinate, execute and assess space control operations and provide battlespace management for unique space control mission requirements. With the requirements established by the Transformation Campaign Plan, space control is critical to the Objective Force for survivability in that it denies adversary imaging for precision targeting, thus reducing lethality, and limiting intelligence gathering. Space Control also supports the Objective Force characteristics of agility and versatility by denying adversary space-based information superiority and total situational awareness of the total battlespace picture.

### FY 2001 Planned Program

• 2972 Continue development of the Kinetic Energy Anti-Satellite kill vehicles.

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIF	FICATION (R-2A Exhibit)	June 2001
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - DEM/VAL	PE NUMBER AND TITLE  0603308A - Army Missile Defense Syst Integration	PROJECT 978
FY 2002 Planned Program  • 1005 Define Space Control Architectural requirements in support of Analysis of Alternatives (AoA) process.  Total 1005  B. Other Program Funding Summary: Not applicable for this item.	the Objective Force and the Transformation Campa	aign Plan. Participate in the space control
C. Acquisition Strategy: Not applicable for this item.		
<u><b>D. Schedule Profile:</b></u> Not applicable for this item.		

BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - DEM/VAL  I. Product Development  Contract Method Type	t Performing & Location	Activity &	Total PYs Cost	FY 20		- Army M		•		ation	PROJEC <b>978</b>	CT CT
Method	t Performing & Location	Activity &	Total PYs Cost	FY 20	01 FY 20	01 EV 2002	EV. 2002					
			110 0000	Co	ost Awa Da	rd Cost		FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date		Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
Subtotal:			0		0	0	1	0		0	0	(
I. Support Cost Contrac Method Type		Activity &	Total PYs Cost	FY 200 Co	01 FY 20 ost Awa	rd Cost	FY 2002 Award Date	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date		Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
a . Various			0	29°		1005		0	0	0	0	
Subtotal:			0	29	72	1005		0		0	0	(
			•		·		•			·		
III. Test and Evaluation Contrac Method Type	t Performing & Location	Activity &	Total PYs Cost	FY 200 Co		rd Cost		FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date		Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
Subtotal:			0		0	0	1	0		0	0	(

BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - DEM/VAL					umber ani <b>3308A -</b> <i>A</i>	D TITLE Army Mis	sile Defer	ise Syster	ns Integr	ation	PROJEC <b>978</b>	Т
IV. Management Services	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	FY 2002 Cost	FY 2002 Award Date	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date	Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
Subtotal:			0	0		0		0		0	0	(
Project Total Cost:			0	2972		1005		0		0	0	(

	ARMY RDT&E BUDGET IT	EM JU	STIFI	CATIO	N (R-2	A Exhi	ibit)	Jı	ıne 2001		
BUDGET 4 - DEN	ACTIVITY <b>1/VAL</b>		(	E NUMBER 0 <mark>603308A</mark> Integratio	- Army I		efense Sys	tems		PROJECT <b>990</b>	
	COST (In Thousands)		FY 2001 Estimate	FY 2002 Estimate	FY 2003 Estimate	FY 2004 Estimate	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Estimate	FY 2007 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
990	SPACE AND MISSILE DEFENSE INTEGRATION	28199	58556	4568	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: HQDA General Order No. 5, 1 March 1998, designated the US Army Space and Missile Defense Command (USASMDC), the specified proponent for space and National Missile Defense (NMD), and the operational integrator for Theater Missile Defense (TMD). In response to this designation, the existing USASMDC elements were reorganized and merged to form the Force Development and Integration Center (FDIC). This project funds the FDIC, a major support element of USASMDC, created to execute the specified proponency role of USASMDC through development of space and NMD solutions to Doctrine, Training, Leader Development, Organization, Materiel, and Soldiers (DTLOMS) and their implementation. This project funds the production of hardware and software solutions, interfaces with technology development, and development of operational and system architectures for space, NMD and TMD requirements. Additionally, this project funds analysis and experimentation integrating the pillars of TMD (active defense, passive defense, attack operations, and battle management/command, control, communications, computers, and intelligence functions) and inputting Army TMD requirements into Joint forums. These products are required to accomplish the integrated TMD mission and exceed the scope of other programs. This program also supports Aviation and Artillery attack operation systems and passive missile defense material solutions. This supports the Legacy to Objective transition path of the Transformation Campaign Plan (TCP).

### FY 2000 Accomplishments

- Space and Missile Defense Planned, developed, and executed concepts and DTLOMS solutions for space and NMD. Represented users of space and NMD in development of operational and training requirements, testing, and evaluation, including SBIRS, M3P/JTAGS, and space control capabilities. Led Army's efforts in Joint Theater Missile Defense (JTMD) architecture development. Expanded space and TMD Master Plans into the 2010 time frame. Sponsored exploration of future space and missile defense warfighting efforts. As the FA 40 personnel proponent, ensured Army Space Operations Officers were thoroughly trained and effectively assigned to meet the needs of Joint and Army commanders.
- Microelectromechanical System Defined opportunities for MEMS packaging. Initiated tasks in the development of packaging demonstrations.
- Aero-acoustics Instrumentation Technology Test facility development; high frequency sensor development; and composite structure dynamic pressure instrumentation.
- Missile System Integration Demonstrated a field portable device for detection; completed design of miniaturized sensor; completed rapid spectral analysis method.

#### 

### FY 2000 Accomplishments (Continued)

• 14073 Missile Defense Flight Experiment Support - Supported flight test experiment in the FY 01 flight from the Kodiak Launch complex.

Total 28199

### FY 2001 Planned Program

ı	• 3367	Space and Missile Defense - Continue FDIC's efforts to plan, develop, and execute concepts and DTLOMS solutions for space and NMD. Represent users
ı		of space and NMD in development of operational and training requirements, testing and evaluation, including SBIRS, M3P/JTAGS, and space control
ı		capabilities. Lead Army's efforts in developing and executing Joint Theater Missile Defense (JTMD) architecture. Expand Space and TMD Master Plans
ı		beyond the 2010 time frame. Sponsor exploration of future space and missile defense warfighting efforts. As the personnel proponent for space operation
ı		officers, ensure Army Space Operations Officers (FA 40) are thoroughly trained and effectively assigned to meet the needs of commanders.

- 5768 Develop full dimensional visualization software.
- Develop Aero-acoustic instrumentation technology.
- 2884 Continue Family of Systems Simulator development.
- Develop Army Acoustics Center of Excellence.
- Develop low cost interceptor technology.
- 3845 Continue advanced radar power technology development.
- 3845 Continue acoustic technology research.
- 1442 Develop supercluster distributed memory technology.
- 1442 Develop scramjet acoustic combustion enhancements.
- 17016 Support Northern Edge range infrastructure, instrumentation, and equipment.
- 3845 Conduct payload development, integration, and research.
- 1923 Conduct Eagle Eyes research for nuclear detection.
- Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technology Transfer

# ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION (R-2A Exhibit) BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - DEM/VAL PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0603308A - Army Missile Defense Systems Integration PROJECT 990 1000

### FY 2002 Planned Program

• 4568

Continue FDIC's efforts to plan, develop, and execute concepts and DTLOMS solutions for space and NMD. Represent users of space and NMD in development of operational and training requirements, testing, and evaluation, including SBIRS, M3P/JTAGS, and space control capabilities. Lead Army's efforts in developing and executing Joint Missile Defense (JTMD) architecture. Develop space and MD modernization strategy beyond the 2010 time frame. Sponsor exploration of future space and missile defense warfighting efforts. As the personnel proponent for space operation officers, ensure Army Space Operations Officers (FA 40) are thoroughly trained and effectively assigned to meet the needs of commanders.

Total 4568

**B. Other Program Funding Summary:** Not applicable for this item.

C. Acquisition Strategy: Program is continuous. Various performers will conduct planned accomplishments.

FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007
1-4Q	1-4Q	0	0	0	0	0
)						

	ARM	Y RDT&E CO	DST AN	ALY	(SIS(R-3	)			June	e <b>2</b> 001		
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - DEM/VAL					E NUMBER ANI <b>603308A -</b> <i>A</i>		sile Defen	ise Syste	ms Integr	ation	PROJEC <b>990</b>	Т
. Product Development	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 200 Co		FY 2002 Cost	FY 2002 Award Date	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
Subtotal:			0		0	0		0		0	0	(
I. Support Cost	Contract Method &	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 200 Co		FY 2002 Cost	FY 2002 Award	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe
	Type				Date	Cost	Date		Date	Complete	Cost	
a . GOVT SUPPORT & SUPPORT CONTRACTS	Type VARIOUS	VARIOUS	36532	5691		4568		0		0	0	Value o Contrac
		VARIOUS	36532	5691	13			0		•		

								June	e 2001			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - DEM/VAL					PE NUMBER AND TITLE  0603308A - Army Missile Defense Syst						
ract Perform od & Locatio	ning Activity & n	Total PYs Cost	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	FY 2002 Cost	FY 2002 Award Date	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targo Value o Contra	
		0	0		0		0		0	0		
		Total PYs Cost	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	FY 2002 Cost	FY 2002 Award Date	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contra	
		0	0		0		0		0	0		
		36532	58556		4568		0		0	0		
-;	act Perforn	act Performing Activity &	act Performing Activity & Total PY's Cost  0	act Performing Activity & Total PY's Cost Cost  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	act Performing Activity & Total PY's Cost Cost Award Date	Date	act Performing Activity & Total PYs Cost Cost Award Date  O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	act Performing Activity & Total PYs Cost Cost Award Date  O 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	act Performing Activity & Total PY's Cost Cost Award Date  O 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	act Performing Activity & Total PYs Cost Cost Award Date Date Complete Date Complete Date Cost Award Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Cost Date Date Cost Date Date Date Date Cost Date Date Date Date Date Date Date Dat	act Performing Activity & Total PYs Cost Cost Award Date Date Date Date Date Date Date Date	

	ARMY RDT&E BUDGET IT	EM JU	STIFI	CATIO	N (R-2	A Exhi	bit)	Jı	ıne 2001		
BUDGET . 4 - DEN	ACTIVITY <b>I/VAL</b>		(	E NUMBER 0 <mark>603308A</mark> Integratio	- Army I		efense Sys	tems		PROJECT <b>997</b>	
	COST (In Thousands)	FY 2000 Actual	FY 2001 Estimate	FY 2002 Estimate	FY 2003 Estimate	FY 2004 Estimate	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Estimate	FY 2007 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
997	SPACE AND MISSILE DEFENSE BATTLELAB	15220	19990	12231	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: Project D997 funds the development of warfighting concepts and the conduct of warfighting experiments within the Space and Missile Defense Battlelab (SMDBL). The mission of the SMDBL is to deliver space and missile defense innovations to the Warfighter through advanced concepts and technology integration, prototyping, experimenting, modeling, simulation, and operational analysis. This type of integration, experimentation, and analysis for space and missile defense is not done anywhere else in the Army.

### FY 2000 Accomplishments

- 7457 Planned, developed, and executed SMDBL experiments in coordination with TRADOC requirements and procedures. Involved directly in the following: Joint Contingency Force Advanced Warfighter Experiment (AWE) Tactical Weather; Space-Based Forced Warning; Eagle Vision II; and Enroute Mission Planning and Rehearsal System (EMPRS); Total Defender Experiment; No Horizons Experiment; Black & White Integration Phase 1; SMDC Experimentation Campaign Plan; 32nd AAMDC Future Operational Capability (FOC); Reengineering Initiative Experiment IV.
- Planned, developed, and executed SMDBL participation in Army/Joint Exercise and Training events, including Strike Force, Fire Simulation Support Tools, Digital Battle Simulation Tool Follow-On, and Optic Windmill 00. Provided for Tactical Simulation Integration Unit (TSIU) IV &V and TSIU High Level Architecture compliance.
- Managed model and simulation (M&S) infrastructure in support of experimentation, exercise, and training, and analysis programs. Managed M&S domains, continued M&S investment strategy, incorporated space and missile defense functionality in Battle Command Training Program (BCTP) events, and included space and missile defense in the Joint Warfighting Simulation (JWARS), Warfighter's Simulation (WARSIM) functional description of the battlefield (FDB).
- Conducted operational analysis support to space and missile defense experiment programs. Conducted analysis support to other SMDC and Army programs, including establishment of capability to conduct analysis of the impacts of space-based sensors in an approved Army simulation. Conducted analysis of the military utility of space-based radar and spectral imagery. Formed federation between Extended Air Defense Simulation (EADSIM) and Fire Support Simulation (FIRESIM), resulting in simultaneous analysis of active defense and attack operations.

Ab	RMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIF	TCATION (R-2A Exhibit)	June 2001
BUDGET ACTI - <b>DEM/V</b> A		PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0603308A - Army Missile Defense Syste Integration	PROJECT 997
FY 2001 Plani	ned Program		
8131	Conduct Missile Defense Integration, Experiments, and Exercise experiment. Hardware/Software Integration Center upgrade/en		
7868	Conduct Space Experimentation and Exercises - With space ex silent runner, black and white integration, spectral images, condarmy Transformation Campaign Plan.		
3504	Develop Models, Simulations, and Assessment Tools and cond Missile Defense and Enhancements to Joint Simulations (JSIM Improvement. Maintain modeling and simulation infrastructure	s), WARSIM Common Operational Modeling, Plant	
487	Small Business Innovative Research/Small Business Technolog	gy Transfer	
Total 19990			
FY 2002 Plani	ned Program		
6116	Conduct Missile Defense Integration, Experiments, and Exercise Intelligence Survelliance & Reconaaissance (C41SR) technolog support legacy/objective/interim force.		
3913	Conduct Space Experimentation, & Exercises - Transformation Rehearsal System (EMPRS) broadcast request imagery technol the Army Transformation Campaign Plan (Legacy,Interim & C	ogys experiments (BRITE); and embedded national	
2202	Develop Model, Simulations, and Assessment tools - Enhancer (WARSIM). Maintain modeling and simulation infrastructure		•
Total 12231			

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIF	TICATION (R-2A Exhibit)	June 2001
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - DEM/VAL	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0603308A - Army Missile Defense Syst Integration	PROJECT Sems 997
B. Other Program Funding Summary: Not applicable for this item.		
C. Acquisition Strategy: Program is continuous. Contracts/Tasks Orders are in p	lace for obligation. Various performers will conduc	et planned accomplishments.
<u><b>D. Schedule Profile:</b></u> Not applicable for this item.		

	ARM	Y RDT&E CO	OST AN	NALYS	IS(R-3)	)			June	e <b>2001</b>		
BUDGET ACTIVITY <b>4 - DEM/VAL</b>					jmber ani <b>3308A -</b> <i>A</i>		sile Defen	ise Syste			PROJEC <b>997</b>	!T
. Product Development	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	FY 2002 Cost	FY 2002 Award Date	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
Subtotal:			0	0		0		0		0	0	I
I. Support Cost	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	FY 2002 Cost	FY 2002 Award Date	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
a . Experiments, Exercises, Enhancements, Maintenance, analysis	CPAF/CPFF	Various, AL & CO	40240	14703		7431		0	0	0	0	
b . Govt Support and Support Contracts	MIPR	Various, AL & CO	13481	4800		4800		0	0	0	0	ı
c . SBIR/STTR			0	487		0		0	0	0	0	(
Subtotal:			53721	19990		12231		0		0	0	(

BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - DEM/VAL					SIS(R-3)				June	e <b>2</b> 001		
					umber ani <b>3308A -</b> <i>A</i>		sile Defen	ise Syster	ns Integr	ation	PROJEC <b>997</b>	Т
	ontract ethod & pe	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	FY 2002 Cost	FY 2002 Award Date	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targ Value ( Contra
Subtotal:			0	0		0		0		0	0	
Remarks: Not Applicable												
V. Management Services Con Me Typ	ontract ethod & pe	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	FY 2002 Cost	FY 2002 Award Date	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targ Value o Contra
Subtotal:			0	0		0		0		0	0	
Remarks: Not Applicable					·							
Project Total Cost:			53721	19990		12231		0		0	0	

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET IT	EM JU	STIFI	CATIO	N (R-2	A Exhi	ibit)	Jı	ıne 2001		
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - DEM/VAL			PE NUMBER <b>0603308A</b> Integratio	- Army I		efense Sys	tems		PROJECT <b>99A</b>	
COST (In Thousands)	FY 2000 Actual	FY 2001 Estimate	FY 2002 Estimate	FY 2003 Estimate	FY 2004 Estimate	FY 2005 Estimate	FY 2006 Estimate	FY 2007 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
99A ARMY AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE	0	(	1687	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification: The Joint Distributed Engineering Plant (JDEP) is a Navy proposed concept expanding their land-based Distributed Plant which assesses integration and interoperability problems (air and missile defense) of the fleet. This program will be used to evaluate interoperability of point forces, test and evaluate interoperability of new acquisition systems, and engineer hardware and software to correct deficiencies and develop new capabilities. The initial focus of this program is directed toward Integrated Air Defense. The program consists of individual combat systems distributed throughout the US connected with ATM/T1 telecommunication network(s) and distributed interactive simulation (DIS) protocols. The JDEP management structure consists of service execution cells. This funding provides for the Army involvement in the overall JDEP program. This effort supports the Legacy to Objective transition path of the Transformation Campaign Plan (TCP).

### FY 2000 Accomplishments

No funding received in FY00

### FY 2001 Planned Program

No funding received in FY01

### FY 2002 Planned Program

- JDEP Test Event Participation.
- Communications Support: T1 Lease, ATM KG routers purchase and maintenance, Tactical Digital Information Link (TADIL) emulation and voice communications.
- Operational Center Support: Provides support during JDEP testing and pre-event simulations.

ARMY RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTI	IFICATION (R-2A Exhibit)	June 2001
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - DEM/VAL	PE NUMBER AND TITLE 0603308A - Army Missile Defense Syste Integration	PROJECT PROJECT PROJECT
B. Other Program Funding Summary: Not applicable for this item.		
C. Acquisition Strategy: Not applicable for this item.		
<b>D. Schedule Profile:</b> Not applicable for this item.		

	ARM	Y RDT&E CC	OST AN		` '				June	e <b>2001</b>		
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - DEM/VAL					umber ani <b>3308A -</b> <i>A</i>	O TITLE Army Miss	sile Defen	se Systen	ns Integr	ation	PROJEC <b>99A</b>	
I. Product Development	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	FY 2002 Cost	FY 2002 Award Date	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
Subtotal:			0	0		0		0		0	0	(
Remarks: Not applicable					·	·						
II. Support Cost	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 2001 Cost	FY 2001 Award Date	FY 2002 Cost	FY 2002 Award Date	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
a . Gov't Support, contractor support and communications support	MIPR, 1095	Various	0	0		700		0	0	0	0	(
Subtotal:			0	0		700		0		0	0	(

BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - DEM/VAL		Y RDT&E CO		PF	NUMBER AN 603308A - A	D TITLE	sile Defer	ise Systei		e 2001 ration	PROJEC <b>99A</b>	Т
III. Test and Evaluation	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 20 Co		FY 2002 Cost	FY 2002 Award Date	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
a . Gov	1095, MIPR	Varioius Army Agencies	0		0	987		0	0	0	0	
Subtotal:			0		0	987		0		0	0	(
IV. Management Services	Contract	Performing Activity &	Total	FY 20		FY 2002	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2003	Cost To	Total	
IV. Management Services	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total PYs Cost	FY 20 Co		FY 2002 Cost	FY 2002 Award Date	FY 2003 Cost	FY 2003 Award Date		Total Cost	Targe Value o Contrac
IV. Management Services  Subtotal:	Method &				st Award		Award		Award			Value o Contrac
	Method &		PYs Cost		st Award Date	Cost	Award		Award	Complete	Cost	Value o